

CUNTZ-LI ALGEBRAS FROM a -ADIC NUMBERS

S. KALISZEWSKI, TRON OMLAND, AND JOHN QUIGG

ABSTRACT. We give a crossed product construction of (stabilized) Cuntz-Li algebras and apply this in our study of Cuntz-Li algebras coming from a -adic numbers. In particular, we prove an a -adic duality theorem.

INTRODUCTION

In [3] Cuntz introduced the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{N}}$ associated with the $ax+b$ -semigroup over the natural numbers, that is $\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{N}^{\times}$, where \mathbb{N}^{\times} acts on \mathbb{Z} by multiplication. It is defined as the universal C^* -algebra generated by isometries $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{\times}}$ and a unitary u satisfying the relations

$$s_m s_n = s_{mn}, \quad s_n u = u^n s_n, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u^k s_n s_n^* u^{-k} = 1 \quad \text{for } m, n \in \mathbb{N}^{\times}.$$

Also, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{N}}$ may be realized on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ equipped with the standard orthonormal basis $\{\delta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ by

$$s_m(\delta_n) = \delta_{mn}, \quad u(\delta_n) = \delta_{n+1}.$$

Furthermore, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is shown to be simple and purely infinite and can also be obtained as a semigroup crossed product

$$C(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \rtimes (\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{N}^{\times})$$

for the natural $ax+b$ -semigroup action of $\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{N}^{\times}$ on the finite integral adeles $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \mathbb{Z}_p$ (i.e. $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the profinite completion of \mathbb{Z}). The stabilization $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is isomorphic to the ordinary crossed product

$$C_0(\mathcal{A}_f) \rtimes (\mathbb{Q} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}_+^{\times})$$

where \mathbb{Q}_+^{\times} denotes the multiplicative group of positive rationals and \mathcal{A}_f denotes the finite adeles, i.e. the restricted product $\prod'_{p \text{ prime}} \mathbb{Q}_p =$

Date: November 8, 2012.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46L55; Secondary 11S82.

Key words and phrases. a -adic number, Cuntz-Li algebra.

$\prod_{p \text{ prime}} (\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. The action of $\mathbb{Q} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}_+^\times$ on A_f is the natural $ax + b$ -action. This crossed product is the minimal automorphic dilation of the semigroup crossed product above [11].

Replacing \mathbb{N}^\times with \mathbb{Z}^\times gives rise to a C^* -algebra $\mathcal{Q}_\mathbb{Z}$ of the ring \mathbb{Z} . This approach was generalized to certain integral domains by Cuntz and Li [4] and then to more general rings by Li [15].

In [13] Larsen and Li defines the 2-adic ring algebra of the integers \mathcal{Q}_2 , attached to the semigroup $\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \langle 2 \rangle$, where $\langle 2 \rangle = \{2^i : i \geq 0\} \subset \mathbb{N}^\times$ acts on \mathbb{Z} by multiplication. It is the universal C^* -algebra generated by an isometry s_2 and a unitary u satisfying the relations

$$s_2 u^k = u^{2k} s_2, \quad s_2 s_2^* + u s_2 s_2^* u^* = 1.$$

The algebra \mathcal{Q}_2 shares many structural properties with $\mathcal{Q}_\mathbb{N}$. It is simple, purely infinite and has a semigroup crossed product description. Its stabilization $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_2$ is isomorphic to the minimal automorphic dilation, which is the crossed product

$$C_0(\mathbb{Q}_2) \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \rtimes \langle 2 \rangle).$$

Here $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ denotes the ring extension of \mathbb{Z} by $\frac{1}{2}$, $\langle 2 \rangle$ the subgroup of the positive rationals \mathbb{Q}_+^\times generated by 2 and the action of $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \rtimes \langle 2 \rangle$ on \mathbb{Q}_2 is the natural $ax + b$ -action.

Both A_f and \mathbb{Q}_2 are examples of groups of so-called a -adic numbers, defined by a doubly infinite sequence $a = (\dots, a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$ with $a_i \geq 2$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For example, if p is a prime number, the group \mathbb{Q}_p of p -adic numbers is associated with the sequence a given by $a_i = p$ for all p .

Our goal is to construct C^* -algebras associated with these a -adic numbers and show that these algebras provide a family of examples that (under certain conditions) share many structural properties with \mathcal{Q}_2 , $\mathcal{Q}_\mathbb{N}$ and also the ring C^* -algebras of Cuntz and Li.

Our approach is inspired by [9], that is, we begin with a crossed product and use the classical theory of C^* -dynamical systems to prove our results (instead of the generators and relations as in the papers of Cuntz, Li and Larsen). Therefore our construction only gives analogues of the stabilized algebras $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_\mathbb{N}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_2$.

Even though the C^* -algebras associated with a -adic numbers will be closely related to the ring C^* -algebras of Cuntz and Li, it will not be a special case (except in the finite adeles case). Also, it will in general not fit into the framework of [9].

The main result is an a -adic duality theorem (Theorem 4.1), which generalizes the 2-adic duality theorem [13, Theorem 7.5] and [3, Theorem 6.5]. In the proof, we only apply classical crossed product techniques instead of groupoid equivalence as in [13].

In the first section we describe the a -adic numbers Ω as the (Hausdorff) completion of a subgroup N of \mathbb{Q} and explain that this approach coincides with the classical one in Hewitt and Ross. Then we go on and introduce the Cuntz-Li-algebras associated with a , that is coming from an $ax + b$ -action of $N \rtimes H$ on Ω for a certain multiplicative group H contained in N , and show that these algebras in many cases have nice properties.

The proof of Theorem 4.1 relies especially on two other results; a duality result for groups in Section 3 describing \widehat{N} for any (noncyclic) subgroup N of \mathbb{Q} , and the “subgroup of dual group theorem”, that we prove in a more general setting in Appendix A.

Finally, in Section 5 we characterize the a -adic numbers up to isomorphism, and give some isomorphism invariants for the associated Cuntz-Li-algebras.

This work was initiated during the second author’s visit at Arizona State University, Spring 2012, and he would like to thank the other authors for their hospitality. The second author is partially supported by the Research Council of Norway (NFR).

1. THE a -ADIC NUMBERS

Let $a = (\dots, a_{-2}, a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$ be a doubly infinite sequence of natural numbers with $a_i \geq 2$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let the sequence a be arbitrary, but fixed.

We use Hewitt and Ross [8, 10, 25] as our reference and define the a -adic numbers Ω as the group of sequences

$$\left\{ x = (x_i) \in \prod_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \{0, 1, \dots, a_i - 1\} : x_i = 0 \text{ for } i < j \text{ for some } j \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

under addition with carry, that is, the sequences have a first nonzero entry and addition is defined inductively. Its topology is generated by the subgroups $\{\mathcal{O}_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, where

$$\mathcal{O}_j = \{x \in \Omega : x_i = 0 \text{ for } i < j\}.$$

This makes Ω to a totally disconnected, Hausdorff, locally compact abelian group. The set Δ of a -adic integers is defined as $\Delta = \mathcal{O}_0$. It is a compact, open subgroup, and a maximal compact ring in Ω (with

product given by multiplication with carry). On the other hand, Ω itself is not a ring in general (see Theorem 5.15).

Define the a -adic rationals N as the additive subgroup of \mathbb{Q} given by

$$N = \left\{ \frac{j}{a_{-1} \cdots a_{-k}} : j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 1 \right\}.$$

In fact, all noncyclic additive subgroups of \mathbb{Q} are of this form (see Section 5). There is an injective homomorphism

$$\iota : N \hookrightarrow \Omega$$

determined by

$$\left(\iota \left(\frac{1}{a_{-1} \cdots a_{-k}} \right) \right)_{-j} = \delta_{jk}.$$

Moreover, $\iota(1) = 1$ and $\iota(N)$ is the dense subgroup of Ω comprising the sequences with only finitely many nonzero entries. This map restricts to an injective ring homomorphism (denoted by the same symbol)

$$\iota : \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \Delta$$

with dense range. Henceforth, we will suppress the ι and identify N and \mathbb{Z} with their image in Ω and Δ , respectively.

Now let \mathcal{U} be the family of all subgroups of N of the form $\frac{m}{n}\mathbb{Z}$ where m and n are natural numbers such that m divides $a_0 \cdots a_j$ for some $j \geq 0$ and n divides $a_{-1} \cdots a_{-k}$ for some $k \geq 1$. Then \mathcal{U}

- (i) is downward directed, that is, for all $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$ there exists $W \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $W \subset U \cap V$,
- (ii) is separating, that is,

$$\bigcap_{U \in \mathcal{U}} U = \{e\},$$

- (iii) has finite quotients, that is, $|U/V| < \infty$ whenever $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$ and $V \subset U$,

and the same is also true for

$$\mathcal{V} = \{U \cap \mathbb{Z} : U \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

In fact, both \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} are closed under intersections, since

$$\frac{m}{n}\mathbb{Z} \cap \frac{m'}{n'}\mathbb{Z} = \frac{\text{lcm}(m, m')}{\text{gcd}(n, n')}\mathbb{Z}.$$

Moreover, the collection \mathcal{U} of normal subgroups of N induces a topology on N . Denote the Hausdorff completion of N with respect to this topology by \overline{N} . Then

$$\overline{N} \cong \varprojlim_{U \in \mathcal{U}} N/U.$$

Next, for $j \geq 0$ define

$$U_j = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } j = 0 \\ a_0 \cdots a_{j-1} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } j \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

and set

$$\mathcal{W} = \{U_j : j \geq 0\} \subset \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}.$$

Note that \mathcal{W} is also separating and closed under intersections. The closure of U_j in Ω is \mathcal{O}_j , so

$$\Omega/\mathcal{O}_j \cong N/U_j \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta/\mathcal{O}_j \cong \mathbb{Z}/U_j \quad \text{for all } j \geq 0.$$

Next let

$$\tau_j : \Omega \rightarrow N/U_j$$

denote the quotient map for $j \geq 0$, and identify $\tau_j(x)$ with the truncated sequence $x^{(j-1)}$, that is,

$$(x^{(j)})_i = \begin{cases} x_i & \text{for } i \leq j \\ 0 & \text{for } i > j. \end{cases}$$

We find it convenient to use the standard “sequence” construction of the inverse limit of the system $\{N/U_j, (\text{mod } a_j)\}$:

$$\varprojlim_{j \geq 0} N/U_j = \left\{ x = (x_i) \in \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} N/U_i : x_i = x_{i+1} \pmod{a_i} \right\},$$

and then the product $\tau : \Omega \rightarrow \varprojlim_{j \geq 0} N/U_j$ of the truncation maps τ_j , given by

$$\tau(x) = (\tau_0(x), \tau_1(x), \tau_2(x), \dots),$$

is an isomorphism.

Furthermore, we note that \mathcal{W} is cofinal in \mathcal{U} . Indeed, for all $U = \frac{m}{n} \mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{U}$, if we choose $j \geq 0$ such that m divides $a_0 \cdots a_j$ then we have $\mathcal{W} \ni U_{j+1} \subset U$.

Therefore,

$$\Omega \cong \varprojlim_{j \geq 0} N/U_j \cong \varprojlim_{U \in \mathcal{U}} N/U \cong \overline{N},$$

and similarly

$$\Delta \cong \varprojlim_{j \geq 0} \mathbb{Z}/U_j \cong \varprojlim_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \mathbb{Z}/V \cong \overline{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

In particular, Δ is a profinite group. In fact, every profinite group coming from a completion of \mathbb{Z} occurs this way (see Section 5).

Lemma 1.1. *Every open subgroup of Ω is of the form*

$$\overline{\bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{C}} U}$$

for some increasing chain \mathcal{C} in \mathcal{U} .

In particular, every compact open subgroup of Ω is of the form \overline{U} for some $U \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. Let $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$ and suppose there are $x \in \overline{U} \setminus \overline{V}$ and $y \in \overline{V} \setminus \overline{U}$. Then $x + y \notin \overline{U} \cup \overline{V}$, so $\overline{U} \cup \overline{V} = \overline{U \cup V}$ is a subgroup of Ω if and only if $U \subset V$ or $V \subset U$. Moreover, $\bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{C}} \overline{U}$ is open in Ω , hence also closed whenever it is a subgroup [8, 5.5], so it equals $\overline{\bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{C}} U}$.

Note that \mathcal{U} is closed under intersections and $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} = \overline{U \cap V}$ by construction. Indeed, since $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V}$ is open in Ω and N is dense in Ω , we have that $(\overline{U} \cap \overline{V}) \cap N = U \cap V$ is dense in $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V}$. \square

Notation 1.2. Whenever any confusion is possible, we write Ω_a, Δ_a, N_a , etc. for the structures associated with the sequence a . If a and b are two sequences such that $\mathcal{U}_a = \mathcal{U}_b$, we write $a \sim b$. In this case also $N_a = N_b$. It is not hard to verify that $a \sim b$ if and only if there is an isomorphism $\Omega_a \rightarrow \Omega_b$ restricting to an isomorphism $\Delta_a \rightarrow \Delta_b$.

Example 1.3. Let p be a prime and assume $a = (\dots, p, p, p, \dots)$. Then $\Omega \cong \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\Delta \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$, i.e. the usual p -adic numbers and p -adic integers.

Example 1.4. Let $a = (\dots, 4, 3, 2, 3, 4, \dots)$, i.e. $a_i = a_{-i} = i + 2$ for $i \geq 0$. Then $\Omega \cong \mathcal{A}_f$ and $\Delta \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$, because every prime occurs infinitely often among both the positive and the negative tails of the sequence a .

Example 1.5. Let $a_i = 2$ for $i \neq 0$ and $a_0 = 3$, so that

$$N = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U} = \{2^i \mathbb{Z}, 2^i 3 \mathbb{Z} : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Then Ω contains torsion elements. Indeed, let

$$x = (\dots, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, \dots), \quad \text{so that} \quad 2x = (\dots, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, \dots),$$

where the first nonzero entry is x_0 . Then $3x = 0$ and $\{0, x, 2x\}$ forms a subgroup of Ω isomorphic with $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $\Omega \not\cong \mathbb{Q}_2$ since \mathbb{Q}_2 is a field.

Example 1.6. Assume a is as in the previous example and let b be given by $b_i = a_{i+1}$, that is, $b_i = 2$ for $i \neq -1$ and $b_{-1} = 3$. Then

$$N_b = \frac{1}{3} \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U}_b = \{2^i \mathbb{Z} : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

We have $\Omega_a \cong \Omega_b$ (as additive groups, see Proposition 5.2), but $a \not\sim b$ since $\Delta_a \not\cong \Delta_b$.

Remark 1.7. Instead of thinking about the a -adic numbers as coming from a sequence of numbers, one may consider them as coming from two chains of ideals. Indeed, every downward directed chain of ideals of \mathbb{Z} (ordered by inclusion) has the form

$$\mathbb{Z} \supset a_0\mathbb{Z} \supset a_0a_1\mathbb{Z} \supset a_0a_1a_2\mathbb{Z} \supset \cdots$$

for some sequence (a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots) of integers $a_i \geq 2$. In this way, it may be possible to generalize the concept of a -adic numbers to other rings.

2. THE a -ADIC ALGEBRAS

Next, we want to define a multiplicative action on Ω , of some suitable subset of N , that is compatible with the natural multiplicative action of \mathbb{Z} on Ω . Let S consist of all $s \in \mathbb{Q}_+^\times$ such that the map $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ given by $U \mapsto sU$ is well-defined and bijective.

Clearly, the map $U \mapsto sU$ is injective if it is well-defined and it is surjective if the map $U \mapsto s^{-1}U$ is well-defined. In other words, S consists of all $s \in \mathbb{Q}_+^\times$ such that both the maps $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ given by $U \mapsto sU$ and $U \mapsto s^{-1}U$ are well-defined.

Lemma 2.1. *If $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, $s = s_1s_2$ and the map $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ given by $U \mapsto sU$ is well-defined and bijective, then the maps $U \mapsto s_1U$ and $U \mapsto s_2U$ are also well-defined and bijective.*

Proof. First, we pick $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $t\frac{m}{n}\mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{U}$ whenever $\frac{m}{n}\mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{U}$ if and only if $tm'\mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{U}$ whenever $m'\mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{U}$. One direction is obvious, so assume $tm'\mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{U}$ for all $m'\mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{U}$ and pick $\frac{m}{n}\mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{U}$ (with m and n coprime). Since $m\mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{U}$ as well, $tm\mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{U}$, so tm divides $a_0 \cdots a_j$ for some $j \geq 0$, and thus the numerator of $\frac{tm}{n}$ (after simplifying) also divides $a_0 \cdots a_j$. And since n divides $a_{-1} \cdots a_{-k}$ for some $k \geq 1$, the denominator of $\frac{tm}{n}$ (after simplifying) also divides $a_{-1} \cdots a_{-k}$.

Similarly, $t^{-1}\frac{m}{n}\mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{U}$ whenever $\frac{m}{n}\mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{U}$ if and only if $\frac{1}{tn'}\mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{U}$ whenever $\frac{1}{n'}\mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{U}$.

Therefore, to show that the map $U \mapsto s_iU$ is well-defined and bijective for $i = 1, 2$, it is enough to show that $s_im\mathbb{Z}, \frac{1}{s_in}\mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{U}$ whenever $m\mathbb{Z}, \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{U}$. But this follows immediately since s_im divides sm which again divides $a_0 \cdots a_j$ for some $j \geq 0$ and s_in divides sn which divides $a_{-1} \cdots a_{-k}$ for some $k \geq 1$. \square

Corollary 2.2. *The set S is a subgroup of \mathbb{Q}_+^\times and may also be described in the following way. Define a set of prime numbers by*

$$P = \{p \text{ prime} : p \text{ divides } a_k \text{ for infinitely many } k < 0 \\ \text{and infinitely many } k \geq 0\}.$$

Then S coincides with the subgroup $\langle P \rangle$ of \mathbb{Q}_+^\times generated by P .

Proof. The first statement is obvious from the previous argumentation, since if s belongs to S , then s^i belongs to S for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If $s \in S \cap \mathbb{N}$, it follows from the previous lemma that all its prime factors must be in S . Hence, p^i belongs to S for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ whenever p is a prime factor of some $s \in S \cap \mathbb{N}$. \square

Therefore S consists of elements $s \in N$ such that the multiplicative actions of both s and s^{-1} on N are well-defined and continuous (with respect to \mathcal{U}). It is well-defined since all $q \in N$ belongs to some $U \in \mathcal{U}$. If $q + U$ is a basic open set in N , then its inverse image under multiplication by s , $s^{-1}(q + U) = s^{-1}q + s^{-1}U$, is also open in N (as $s^{-1}U \in \mathcal{U}$). By letting S be discrete, it follows that the action is continuous.

We will not always be interested in the action of the whole group S on N , but rather a subgroup of S . So henceforth, we fix a subgroup H of S . Furthermore, let G be the semidirect product of N by H , i.e. $G = N \rtimes H$ where H acts on N by multiplication. This means that there is a well-defined $ax + b$ -action of G on N given by

$$(r, h) \cdot q = r + hq \quad \text{for } q, r \in N \text{ and } h \in H.$$

This action is continuous with respect to \mathcal{U} , and can therefore be extended to an action of G on Ω , by uniform continuity.

Example 2.3. To see why the primes in P must divide infinitely many terms of both the positive and negative tails of the sequence, consider the following example. Let $a_i = 2$ for $i < 1$ and $a_i = 3$ for $i \geq 1$ and let x be defined by $x_i = 0$ for $i < 0$ and $x_i = 1$ for $i \geq 0$. Then

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot (2 \cdot x) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0 = 0 \neq x = 1 \cdot x = (\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2) \cdot x.$$

Here $N = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ is a ring, but the problem is that multiplication by $\frac{1}{2}$ is not continuous on N . In particular $x^{(j+1)} = 3x^{(j)}$, so $\frac{1}{2}(x^{(j+1)} - x^{(j)}) = x^{(j)} \not\rightarrow 0$, hence $(\frac{1}{2}x^{(j)})$ is not Cauchy.

Note that $P = \emptyset$ in this case.

Proposition 2.4. *The action of $G = N \rtimes H$ on Ω is minimal, locally contractive and topologically free.*

Proof. For the minimality, just observe that for any $x \in \Omega$ the orbit $\{g \cdot x : g \in G\}$ is dense because it contains $x + N$, which is dense in $x + \Omega = \Omega$.

Next, note that for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$ there is an $s \in H$ such that $sU \subsetneq U$. In fact, since $sU \in \mathcal{U}$ for all $s \in H$, any $s \in H$ with $s > 1$ will do the

job. Therefore for all open $q + \overline{U} \subset \Omega$, $q \in N$, pick $s \in H$ such that $s \cdot \overline{U} \subsetneq \overline{U}$ and put $r = q - sq \in N$. Then

$$(s, r) \cdot (q + \overline{U}) = s \cdot (q + \overline{U}) + r = sq + s \cdot \overline{U} + r = q + s \cdot \overline{U} \subsetneq q + \overline{U}$$

which means that the action is locally contractible.

Finally, suppose that $\{x \in \Omega : g \cdot x = x\}$ has nonempty interior for some $g = (s, r)$. Since N is dense in Ω , this implies that there is some open set U in N such that $g \cdot x = x$ for all $x \in U$. Assume that two distinct elements $x, y \in U$ both are fixed by $g = (s, r)$, that is, $g \cdot x = sx + r = x$ and $g \cdot y = sy + r = y$. Then $x - y = s(x - y)$, so $s = 1$ since $x \neq y$, and hence $r = 0$, which means that $g = (s, r) = (1, 0) = e$. \square

Definition 2.5. Suppose $P \neq \emptyset$, that is, $S \neq \{1\}$. If H is a nontrivial subgroup of S , we define the C^* -algebra $\overline{\mathcal{Q}} = \overline{\mathcal{Q}}(a, H)$ by

$$\overline{\mathcal{Q}} = C_0(\Omega) \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{aff}}} G,$$

where

$$\alpha^{\text{aff}}_{(n, h)}(f)(x) = f(h^{-1} \cdot (x - n)).$$

Notation 2.6. The bar-notation on $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ is used so that it agrees with the notation for stabilized Cuntz-Li algebras in [3] and [13].

Theorem 2.7. *The C^* -algebra $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ is simple and purely infinite.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.4. Indeed, $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ is simple since the action α^{aff} is minimal and topologically free (Archbold-Spielberg [1]), and $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ is purely infinite since α^{aff} is locally contractive (Laca-Spielberg [12]). \square

Corollary 2.8. *The C^* -algebra $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ is a nonunital Kirchberg algebra in the UCT class.*

Proof. The algebra is clearly nonunital and separable. It is also nuclear since the dynamical system consists of an amenable group acting on a commutative C^* -algebra. Finally, $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ may be identified with the C^* -algebra of the transformation groupoid attached to (Ω, G) . This groupoid is amenable and hence the associated C^* -algebra belongs to the UCT class [17]. \square

Remark 2.9. We should note the difference between the setup described here and the one in [9]. Here we do not assume that the family of subgroups \mathcal{U} is generated by the action of H on some subgroup M of N . That is, we do not assume that $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_M = \{h \cdot M : h \in H\}$ for any M , only that $\mathcal{U}_M \subset \mathcal{U}$. In light of this, we were lead to convince ourselves that the results in [9, Section 3] also hold under the following slightly weaker conditions on \mathcal{U} . Let $G = N \rtimes H$ be a discrete

semidirect product group with normal subgroup $N \neq G$ and quotient group H . Let \mathcal{U} be a family of normal subgroups of N satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) \mathcal{U} is downward directed, separating, and has finite quotients.
- (ii) For all $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $h \in H$, $h \cdot U \in \mathcal{U}$.
- (iii) For all $V \in \mathcal{U}$, the family

$$\mathcal{U}_V = \{h \cdot V : h \in H\}$$

is downward directed and separating.

- (iv) For all $h \in H$ and $U \in \mathcal{U}$, U is not fixed pointwise by the action of h .

In particular, the conditions do not depend on any particular subgroup M of N .

Example 2.10. If $a = (\dots, 2, 2, 2, \dots)$ and $H = S = \langle 2 \rangle$, then $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ is the algebra $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_2$ of Larsen and Li [13]. More generally, if p is a prime, $a = (\dots, p, p, p, \dots)$ and $H = S = \langle p \rangle$, we are in the setting of Example 1.3 and get algebras similar to $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_2$.

If $a = (\dots, 4, 3, 2, 3, 4, \dots)$ and $H = S = \mathbb{Q}_+^\times$, then we are in the setting of Example 1.4. In this case $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ is the algebra $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathbb{N}}$ of Cuntz.

Both these algebras are special cases of the most well-behaved situation, namely where $H = S$ and $a_i \in H$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The algebras arising this way are completely determined by the set (finite or infinite) of primes P , and are precisely those algebras that fit into the framework of [9]. The cases described above are the two extremes where P consists of either one single prime or all primes.

Remark 2.11. If $a \sim b$, then $S_a = S_b$ and $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}(a, H) = \overline{\mathcal{Q}}(b, H)$ for all $H \subset S_a = S_b$.

Example 2.12. If $a = (\dots, 2, 2, 2, \dots)$ and $b = (\dots, 4, 4, 4, \dots)$, then $a \sim b$. Hence, for all nontrivial $H \subset S = \langle 2 \rangle$ we have $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}(a, H) = \overline{\mathcal{Q}}(b, H)$. However, if $H = \langle 4 \rangle$, then $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}(a, S) \neq \overline{\mathcal{Q}}(a, H)$, as remarked in Question 5.14.

In light of this example, it could also be interesting to investigate the $ax + b$ -action of other subgroups of G on Ω . Let G' be a subgroup of $N \rtimes S$. By the proof of Proposition 2.4 it should be clear that the action of G' on Ω is minimal, locally contractive and topologically free if and only if $G' = M \rtimes H$, where $M \subset N$ is dense in Ω and $H \subset S$ is nontrivial.

Lemma 2.13. *A proper subgroup M of N is dense in Ω if and only if $M = qN$ for some $q \geq 2$ such that q and a_i are relatively prime for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. First note that $\overline{M} \subsetneq \overline{N} = \Omega$ if and only if there exists a subfamily $\mathcal{U}' \subset \mathcal{U}$ so that

$$M \subset \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}'} U \subsetneq N.$$

This holds if and only if M is contained in a subgroup of N of the form

$$\left\{ \frac{L \cdot j}{a'_{-1} \cdots a'_{-k}} : j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 1 \right\} \subsetneq N,$$

where a'_{-1}, a'_{-2}, \dots is a sequence of natural numbers such that for all $i \geq 1$ there is $k \geq 1$ such that $a'_{-1} a'_{-2} \cdots a'_{-i}$ divides $a_{-1} a_{-2} \cdots a_{-k}$, and where L divides $a_0 \cdots a_j$ for some $j \geq 0$, but L does not divide $a_{-1} a_{-2} \cdots a_{-k}$ for any $k \geq 1$.

If $M \subsetneq N$ and M is not contained in any subgroup of N of this form, then the only possibility is that $M = qN$ for some $q \geq 2$ such that q and a_i are relatively prime for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. \square

Of course, M and N are isomorphic if the condition of Lemma 2.13 is satisfied (see Remark 5.8).

Remark 2.14. Let p be a prime and let $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$. Then the map $\Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ given by $x \mapsto px$ is continuous and open. Thus $p\overline{U} = \overline{pU} = \overline{U}$ if $pU \notin \mathcal{U}$. If $p\overline{U} = \overline{V}$ and $pU \in \mathcal{U}$, then $p^{-1}V \in \mathcal{U}$. Set

$$Q = \{p \text{ prime} : p \text{ does not divide any } a_i\}.$$

Then multiplication by p is an automorphism of Ω if and only if $p \in P \cup Q$. Indeed, if $p \in Q$, then $p\overline{U} = \overline{U}$ for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$.

Thus, $\frac{1}{p} \in \Omega$ when $p \in Q$ and it is possible to embed the subgroup

$$\left\{ \frac{n}{q} \mid n \in N, q \in \langle Q \rangle \right\} \subset \mathbb{Q}$$

in Ω , where $\langle Q \rangle$ denotes the multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{Q}_+^\times generated by Q . For example, \mathbb{Q} itself can be embedded into \mathbb{Q}_p for all primes p . However, it is not hard to see that the image of the diagonal map $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Q}_p$ is not closed in this case.

Proposition 2.15. *Suppose M is a subgroup of N that is dense in Ω , and let H be a nontrivial subgroup of S . As usual, let $G = N \rtimes H$ and set $G' = M \rtimes H$. Then*

$$C_0(\Omega) \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{aff}}} G \cong C_0(\Omega) \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{aff}}} G'.$$

Proof. Assume that $M = qN$, where q and a_i are relatively prime for all i . Then the isomorphism is determined by the map $\varphi : C_c(G', C_0(\Omega)) \rightarrow C_c(G, C_0(\Omega))$ given by

$$\varphi(f)(n, h)(x) = f(qn, h)(qx). \quad \square$$

3. A DUALITY THEOREM FOR GROUPS

In this section we first give the duality result Theorem 3.3 for a -adic groups following the approach of [8], after which we outline a slightly modified approach that has some advantage.

For any a , let a^* be the sequence given by $a_i^* = a_{-i}$. In particular, $(a^*)^* = a$. We now fix a and write Ω and Ω^* for the a -adic and a^* -adic numbers, respectively. Let $x \in \Omega$ and $y \in \Omega^*$ and for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ put

$$z_j = e^{2\pi i x^{(j)} y^{(j)} / a_0}$$

where $x^{(j)} = \tau_j(x)$ and $y^{(j)} = \tau_j(y)$ are the truncations of x and y . Then z_j will eventually be constant. Indeed, note that

$$x^{(j)} = \frac{x_{-k}}{a_{-1} \cdots a_{-k}} + \cdots + \frac{x_{-1}}{a_{-1}} + x_0 + a_0 x_1 + \cdots + a_0 \cdots a_{j-1} x_j$$

for some $k > 0$. Therefore $a_0 a_{-1} \cdots a_{-j+1} x^{(j)} \in a_0 \mathbb{Z}$ for all $j > k$. Similarly, there is an $l > 0$ such that $a_0 a_1 \cdots a_{j-1} y^{(j)} \in a_0 \mathbb{Z}$ for all $j > l$. Hence, for all $j > \max\{k, l\}$

$$x^{(j+1)} y^{(j+1)} - x^{(j)} y^{(j)} = 0 \pmod{a_0 \mathbb{Z}},$$

i.e. $z_{j+1} = z_j$. We now define the pairing $\Omega \times \Omega^* \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ by

$$\langle x, y \rangle_\Omega = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} e^{2\pi i x^{(j)} y^{(j)} / a_0}.$$

The pairing is a continuous homomorphism in each variable separately and gives an isomorphism $\Omega^* \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}$. Indeed, to see that our map coincides with the one in [8, 25.1], note the following. Suppose k and l are the largest numbers such that $x_i = 0$ for $i < -k$ and $y_j = 0$ for $j < -l$. Then

$$\langle x, y \rangle_\Omega = \begin{cases} e^{2\pi i x^{(l)} y^{(k)} / a_0} & \text{if } k + l \geq 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } k + l < 0. \end{cases}$$

From this it should also be clear that if $x \in N$ and $y \in N^*$, then

$$\langle x, y \rangle_\Omega = e^{2\pi i xy / a_0}.$$

Furthermore, for each j , the pairing of Ω and Ω^* restricts to an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{-j+1}^* \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_j)^\perp$. This is also explained in [8, 25.1]. Hence for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\Omega^* / \mathcal{O}_{-j+1}^* \cong \widehat{\Omega} / (\mathcal{O}_j)^\perp \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}_j}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *The injection $\iota : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \Omega$ given by $q \mapsto (q, q)$ has discrete range, and N may be considered as a closed subgroup of $\mathbb{R} \times \Omega$.*

Proof. Since $(-1, 1)$ is open in \mathbb{R} and Δ is open in Ω , we have $U = (-1, 1) \times \Delta$ is open in $\mathbb{R} \times \Omega$. Moreover $\iota(N) \cap U = \{0\}$ as $N \cap \Delta = \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $\{0\}$ is an isolated point in $\iota(N)$, hence the image of ι is discrete by [8, 5.8] which means it is closed in $\mathbb{R} \times \Omega$ by [8, 5.10]. \square

Similarly, N^* may be considered as a closed subgroup of $\mathbb{R} \times \Omega^*$. By applying the facts about the pairing of Ω and Ω^* stated above, the pairing of $\mathbb{R} \times \Omega$ and $\mathbb{R} \times \Omega^*$ given by

$$\langle (u, x), (v, y) \rangle = e^{-2\pi i uv/a_0} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} e^{2\pi i x^{(j)} y^{(j)}/a_0} = \langle u, v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \langle x, y \rangle_{\Omega}$$

defines an isomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega^* \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{R} \times \Omega}$.

Lemma 3.2. *The map φ restricts to an isomorphism $\iota(N^*) \rightarrow \iota(N)^{\perp}$*

Proof. First, for $r \in N^*$ we have

$$\langle (q, q), (r, r) \rangle = e^{-2\pi i qr/a_0} e^{2\pi i qr/a_0} = 1 \quad \text{for all } q \in N,$$

so $\iota(r) \in \iota(N)^{\perp}$.

On the other hand, let $(v, y) \in \iota(N)^{\perp}$, so that

$$\langle (q, q), (v, y) \rangle = 1 \quad \text{for all } q \in N.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{a_0} q(y^{(j)} - v) = 0 \pmod{\mathbb{Z}} \quad \text{for all } q \in N \text{ and sufficiently large } j.$$

In particular, this must hold for $q = \frac{1}{a_{-1} \cdots a_{-k}}$ for all $k \geq 1$, so

$$y^{(j)} - v = 0 \pmod{a_0 \cdots a_{-k} \mathbb{Z}} \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1 \text{ and sufficiently large } j.$$

Since $y^{(j)}$ is rational for all j , the real number v must also be rational. Moreover, $y^{(j)} = v$ for sufficiently large j , so the sequence $\{y^{(j)}\}_j$ must eventually be constant. That is, $y = y^{(j)}$ for large j , hence $y \in N^*$ and $y = v$, so

$$(v, y) = (y, y) \in \iota(N^*). \quad \square$$

Thus, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *There are isomorphisms*

$$(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega^*)/N^* \cong \widehat{\mathbb{R} \times \Omega}/N^{\perp} \cong \widehat{N}$$

and the isomorphism $\omega : (\mathbb{R} \times \Omega^)/N^* \rightarrow \widehat{N}$ is given by*

$$\omega((v, y) + N^*)(q) = \langle (q, q), (v, y) \rangle \quad \text{for } (v, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega^* \text{ and } q \in N.$$

In particular, by this result one can describe the dual of all noncyclic subgroups of \mathbb{Q} . For example, $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{A}_f)/\mathbb{Q} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Remark 3.4. Let M be a subgroup of N that is dense in Ω , so that by Lemma 2.13 M is of the form qN for some $q \geq 2$. Then $M^* = qN^* \cong N^*$ is a subgroup of N^* that is dense in Ω^* . By replacing M with N , the above argument gives $(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega^*)/M^* \cong \widehat{M}$.

3.1. Modified duality results for groups. For any a and integer n , let $a^{(n)}$ denote the sequence given by $a_i^{(n)} = a_{-i+n}$. We have $(a^{(n)})_i^{(n)} = a_{-i+n}^{(n)} = a_{-(-i+n)+n} = a_i$, so $(a^{(n)})^{(n)} = a$. In particular, we set $a^* = a^{(0)}$ and $a^\# = a^{(-1)}$.

Now we fix some sequence a , and our goal is to explain why $a^\#$ might be a better choice than a^* for our purposes. First of all, note that if $\Omega^{(n)}$ and $\Omega^{(m)}$ are the a -adic numbers coming from $a^{(n)}$ and $a^{(m)}$, respectively, then $\Omega^{(n)}$ and $\Omega^{(m)}$ are isomorphic (as abelian topological groups) by Proposition 5.2 and the succeeding remark.

Let $x \in \Omega$ and $y \in \Omega^\#$ and put

$$z_j = e^{2\pi i x^{(j)} y^{(j)}},$$

where $x^{(j)}$ and $y^{(j)}$ are the truncations of x and y . Then z_j will eventually be constant. Indeed, note that

$$x^{(j)} = \frac{x_{-k}}{a_{-1} \cdots a_{-k}} + \cdots + \frac{x_{-1}}{a_{-1}} + x_0 + a_0 x_1 + \cdots + a_0 \cdots a_{j-1} x_j$$

for some $k > 0$. Therefore $a_{-1} \cdots a_{-j+1} x^{(j)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $j > k$. Similarly, there is an $l > 0$ such that $a_0 a_1 \cdots a_{j-1} y^{(j)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $j > l$. Hence, for all $j > \max\{k, l\}$

$$x^{(j+1)} y^{(j+1)} - x^{(j)} y^{(j)} = 0 \pmod{\mathbb{Z}},$$

i.e., $z_{j+1} = z_j$. We now define the pairing $\Omega \times \Omega^\# \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ by

$$\langle x, y \rangle_\Omega = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} e^{2\pi i x^{(j)} y^{(j)}}.$$

The pairing is also in this case a continuous homomorphism in each variable separately and gives an isomorphism $\Omega^\# \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}$ by a similar method as in [8, 25.1]. From this it should also be clear that if $x \in N$ and $y \in N^\#$, then

$$\langle x, y \rangle_\Omega = e^{2\pi i xy}.$$

Moreover, for all j

$$\Omega^\# / \mathcal{O}_{-j}^\# \cong \widehat{\Omega} / (\mathcal{O}_j)^\perp \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_j,$$

and there is an isomorphism $\omega : (\mathbb{R} \times \Omega^\#)/N^\# \rightarrow \widehat{N}$ given by

$$\omega((v, y) + N^\#)(q) = \langle (q, q), (v, y) \rangle \quad \text{for } (v, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega^\# \text{ and } q \in N.$$

Remark 3.5. Let a and b be two sequences. Then $a \sim b$ if and only if $a^\# \sim b^\#$.

On the other hand, $a \sim b$ does not imply $a^* \sim b^*$ in general (see Remark 5.5 and the preceding comments).

Remark 3.6. Let $x \in \Omega$ and $y \in \Omega^{(n)}$ and put

$$z_j = \begin{cases} e^{2\pi i a_{-1} \cdots a_{n+1} x^{(j)} y^{(j)}} & n \leq -2 \\ e^{2\pi i x^{(j)} y^{(j)}} & n = -1 \\ e^{2\pi i \frac{1}{a_0 \cdots a_n} x^{(j)} y^{(j)}} & n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $x^{(j)}$ and $y^{(j)}$ are the truncations of x and y . Then z_j will eventually be constant, and we may argue as above to get a pairing.

Finally, it is not hard to see that $N^{(n)} \cong N^{(m)}$ for all n, m . In particular, $a_0 N^\# = N^*$.

4. THE a -ADIC DUALITY THEOREM

In general, note that $P^* = P$ and $S^* = S$. Hence, every subgroup $H \subset S$ acting on N and Ω also acts on N^* and Ω^* . In particular $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}(a, S)$ is well-defined if and only if $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}(a^*, S^*)$ is.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that $P \neq \emptyset$ and that H is a nontrivial subgroup of S . Set $G = N \rtimes H$ and $G^* = N^* \rtimes H$. Then there is a Morita equivalence*

$$C_0(\Omega) \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{aff}}} G \sim_M C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{aff}}} G^*,$$

where the action on each side is the $ax + b$ -action.

Proof. It will improve the clarity of notation in this proof if we switch the stars; thus, we want to prove

$$C_0(\Omega^*) \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{aff}}} G^* \sim_M C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{aff}}} G.$$

By [18, Corollary 3.11], we can decompose both sides as iterated crossed products:

$$\begin{aligned} C_0(\Omega^*) \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{aff}}} G^* &\cong (C_0(\Omega^*) \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{aff}}|_{N^*}} N^*) \rtimes_{\widetilde{\alpha^{\text{aff}}}} H \\ C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{aff}}} G &\cong (C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{aff}}|_N} N) \rtimes_{\widetilde{\alpha^{\text{aff}}}} H, \end{aligned}$$

where in the first case

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\alpha^{\text{aff}}}_h \circ i_{C_0(\Omega^*)} &= i_{C_0(\Omega^*)} \circ \alpha^{\text{aff}}_h \\ \widetilde{\alpha^{\text{aff}}}_h \circ i_{N^*} &= i_{N^*} \circ \widetilde{\alpha^{\text{aff}}}_h^2, \end{aligned}$$

where in turn $\widetilde{\alpha^{\text{aff}}}^2$ is the action of H on $C^*(N^*)$ given by

$$\widetilde{\alpha^{\text{aff}}}^2_h(g)(n) = g(h^{-1}n) \quad \text{for } g \in C_c(N^*) \subset C^*(N^*) \text{ and } n \in N^*$$

(observe that the action of H on N^* preserves Haar measure because N^* is discrete), and similarly for $C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes G$.

Our strategy is to find a Morita equivalence

$$C_0(T/\Omega) \rtimes_{\text{lt}} N \sim_M C_0(N \setminus T) \rtimes_{\text{rt}} \Omega,$$

where $T = \mathbb{R} \times \Omega$, that is equivariant for actions α and β of H on $C_0(T/\Omega) \rtimes_{\text{lt}} N$ and $C_0(N \setminus T) \rtimes_{\text{rt}} \Omega$, respectively, and then find isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} (C_0(T/\Omega) \rtimes_{\text{lt}} N) \rtimes_{\alpha} H &\cong (C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{aff}}|_N} N) \rtimes_{\widetilde{\alpha^{\text{aff}}}} H \\ (C_0(N \setminus T) \rtimes_{\text{rt}} \Omega) \rtimes_{\beta} H &\cong (C_0(\Omega^*) \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{aff}}|_{N^*}} N^*) \rtimes_{\widetilde{\alpha^{\text{aff}}}} H \end{aligned}$$

Step 1. Recall that N and Ω sit inside T as closed subgroups. All the groups are abelian, and therefore, by [18, Corollary 4.11] (for example) we get a Morita equivalence

$$(4.1) \quad C_0(T/\Omega) \rtimes_{\text{lt}} N \sim_M C_0(N \setminus T) \rtimes_{\text{rt}} \Omega$$

via an imprimitivity bimodule X that is a completion of $C_c(T)$. Here N acts on the left of T/Ω by $n \cdot ((t, y) \cdot \Omega) = (n + t, n + y) \cdot \Omega$ and Ω acts on the right of $N \setminus T$ by $(N \cdot (t, y)) \cdot x = N \cdot (t, y + x)$, and the induced actions on C_0 -functions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lt}_n(f)(p \cdot \Omega) &= f(-n \cdot (p \cdot \Omega)) \\ \text{rt}_x(g)(N \cdot p) &= g((N \cdot p) \cdot x) \end{aligned}$$

for $n \in N$, $f \in C_0(T/\Omega)$, $p \in T$, $x \in \Omega$, and $g \in C_0(N \setminus T)$.

Moreover, H acts by multiplication on N , hence on Ω , and also on \mathbb{R} . Thus H acts diagonally on $T = \mathbb{R} \times \Omega$ by $h \cdot (t, x) = (ht, h \cdot x)$.

We will show that the Morita equivalence (4.1) is equivariant for appropriately chosen actions of H . Define actions α , β , and γ of H on $C_c(N, C_0(T/\Omega))$, $C_c(\Omega, C_0(T \setminus N))$, and $C_c(T)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_h(f)(n)((t, y) \cdot \Omega) &= f(hn)((ht, h \cdot y) \cdot \Omega), \\ \beta_h(g)(x)(N \cdot (t, y)) &= \delta(h)g(h \cdot x)(N \cdot (ht, h \cdot y)), \\ \gamma_h(\xi)(t, y) &= \delta(h)^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi(ht, h \cdot y), \end{aligned}$$

where δ is the modular function for the multiplicative action of H on Ω , i.e., δ satisfies

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} \psi(x) dx = \delta(h) \int_{\Omega} \psi(h \cdot x) dx \quad \text{for } \psi \in C_c(\Omega).$$

Note that α, β, γ are actions only because H is abelian.

We want α, β, γ to extend to give an action of H on the $C_0(T/\Omega) \rtimes_{\text{lt}} N - C_0(N \setminus T) \rtimes_{\text{rt}} \Omega$ imprimitivity bimodule X , and we must check the conditions [18, (4.41)-(4.44)]. First, for all $h \in H$, $f \in C_c(N, C_0(T/\Omega))$, $\xi \in C_c(T)$, and $(t, y) \in T$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\alpha_h(f) \cdot \gamma_h(\xi))(t, y) \\
&= \sum_{n \in N} \alpha_h(f)(n) ((t, y) \cdot \Omega) \gamma_h(\xi)(-n \cdot (t, y)) \\
&= \sum_{n \in N} f(hn) ((ht, h \cdot y) \cdot \Omega) \delta(h)^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi(h(t-n), h \cdot (y-n)) \\
&= \sum_{n \in N} f(n) ((ht, h \cdot y) \cdot \Omega) \delta(h)^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi(ht-n, h \cdot y-n) \\
&= \delta(h)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n \in N} f(n) ((ht, h \cdot y) \cdot \Omega) \xi(-n \cdot (ht, h \cdot y)) \\
&= \delta(h)^{\frac{1}{2}} (f \cdot \xi)(ht, h \cdot y) \\
&= \gamma_h(f \cdot \xi)(t, y),
\end{aligned}$$

where the substitution $hn \mapsto n$ is made in the third equality.

Secondly, for all $h \in H$, $\xi \in C_c(T)$, $g \in C_c(\Omega, C_0(N \setminus T))$, and $(t, y) \in T$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\gamma_h(\xi) \cdot \beta_h(g))(t, y) \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \gamma_h(\xi)((t, y) \cdot x) \beta_h(g)(-x) (N \cdot (t, y) \cdot x) dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \delta(h)^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi(ht, h \cdot (y+x)) \delta(h) g(-h \cdot x) (N \cdot (ht, h \cdot (y+x))) dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \delta(h)^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi(ht, h \cdot y+x) g(-x) (N \cdot (ht, h \cdot y+x)) dx \\
&= \delta(h)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Omega} \xi((ht, h \cdot y) \cdot x) g(-x) (N \cdot (ht, h \cdot y) \cdot x) dx \\
&= \delta(h)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\xi \cdot g)(ht, h \cdot y) \\
&= \gamma_h(\xi \cdot g)(t, y),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the third equality we shift $h \cdot x \mapsto x$ according to (4.2).

For the third equation, for all $h \in H$, $\xi, \eta \in C_c(T)$, $n \in N$, and $(t, y) \in T$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_h({}_L \langle \xi, \eta \rangle)(n) ((t, y) \cdot \Omega) \\
&= {}_L \langle \xi, \eta \rangle(hn) ((ht, h \cdot y) \cdot \Omega)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\Omega} \xi((ht, h \cdot y) \cdot x) \overline{\eta(-hn \cdot (ht, h \cdot y) \cdot x)} dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \delta(h) \xi(ht, h \cdot y + h \cdot x) \overline{\eta(ht - hn, h \cdot y + h \cdot x - hn)} dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \delta(h)^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi(ht, h \cdot (y + x)) \delta(h)^{\frac{1}{2}} \overline{\eta(h(t - n), h \cdot (y + x - n))} dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \gamma_h(\xi)((t, y) \cdot x) \overline{\gamma_h(\eta)(-n \cdot (t, y) \cdot x)} dx \\
&= {}_L \langle \gamma_h(\xi), \gamma_h(\eta) \rangle(n)((t, y) \cdot \Omega),
\end{aligned}$$

where the substitution $x \mapsto h \cdot x$ is made in the fourth equality according to (4.2).

Finally, for all $h \in H$, $\xi, \eta \in C_c(T)$, $x \in \Omega$, and $(t, y) \in T$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\beta_h(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_R)(x)(N \cdot (t, y)) \\
&= \delta(h) \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_R(h \cdot x)(N \cdot (ht, h \cdot y)) \\
&= \delta(h) \sum_{n \in N} \overline{\xi(-n \cdot (ht, h \cdot y))} \eta((-n) \cdot (ht, h \cdot y) \cdot (h \cdot x)) \\
&= \delta(h) \sum_{n \in N} \overline{\xi(ht - hn, h \cdot y - hn)} \eta(ht - hn, h \cdot y + h \cdot x - hn) \\
&= \sum_{n \in N} \delta(h)^{\frac{1}{2}} \overline{\xi(h(t - n), h \cdot (y - n))} \delta(h)^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta(h(t - n), h \cdot (y + x - n)) \\
&= \sum_{n \in N} \overline{\gamma_h(\xi)(-n \cdot (t, y))} \gamma_h(\eta)((-n) \cdot (t, y) \cdot x) \\
&= \langle \gamma_h(\xi), \gamma_h(\eta) \rangle_R(x)(N \cdot (t, y)),
\end{aligned}$$

where we shift $n \mapsto hn$ in the fourth equality. Hence, we can conclude that there is a Morita equivalence

$$(4.3) \quad (C_0(T/\Omega) \rtimes_{\text{lt}} N) \rtimes_{\alpha} H \sim_M (C_0(N \backslash T) \rtimes_{\text{rt}} \Omega) \rtimes_{\beta} H$$

(see [2, 6]).

In our use of this equivariant Morita equivalence below, it will be convenient to see what the actions α and β do to generators: for $h \in H$ we have

- $\overline{\alpha_h} \circ i_{C_0(T/\Omega)} = i_{C_0(T/\Omega)} \circ \alpha_h^1$, where α_h^1 is the action of H on $C_0(T/\Omega)$ given by

$$\alpha_h^1(f)(p \cdot \Omega) = f(h \cdot p \cdot \Omega);$$

- $\overline{\alpha_h} \circ i_N(n) = i_N(hn)$ for $n \in N$;

- $\overline{\beta_h} \circ i_{C_0(N \setminus T)} = i_{C_0(N \setminus T)} \circ \beta_h^1$, where β^1 is the action of H on $C_0(N \setminus T)$ given by

$$\beta_h^1(g)(N \cdot p) = g(N \cdot (h \cdot p));$$

- $\overline{\beta_h} \circ i_\Omega = i_\Omega \circ \beta_h^2$, where β^2 is the action of H on $C^*(\Omega)$ given by

$$(4.4) \quad \beta_h^2(k)(x) = \delta(h)k(h \cdot x) \quad \text{for } k \in C_c(\Omega) \text{ and } x \in \Omega.$$

Step 2. The isomorphism $\psi : T/\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\psi((t, y) \cdot \Omega) = t$$

transforms the action of N on T/Ω to an action on \mathbb{R} : for $n \in N$ and $(t, y) \in T$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} n \cdot \psi((t, y) \cdot \Omega) &= \psi(n \cdot ((t, y) \cdot \Omega)) \\ &= \psi(((n, n) + (t, y)) \cdot \Omega) \\ &= \psi((n + t, n + y) \cdot \Omega) \\ &= n + t. \end{aligned}$$

The isomorphism ψ induces an isomorphism $\psi_* : C_0(T/\Omega) \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\psi_*(f)(t) = f(\psi^{-1}(t)) \quad \text{for } f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The isomorphism ψ_* transforms the action lt of N on $C_0(T/\Omega)$ to an action ρ on $C_0(\mathbb{R})$: for $n \in N$, $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_n(f)(t) &= \psi_* \circ \text{lt}_n \circ \psi_*^{-1}(f)(t) \\ &= \text{lt}_n \circ \psi_*^{-1}(f)(\psi^{-1}(t)) \\ &= \text{lt}_n \circ \psi_*^{-1}(f)((t, 0) \cdot \Omega) \\ &= \psi_*^{-1}(f)(-n \cdot (t, 0) \cdot \Omega) \\ &= \psi_*^{-1}(f)((t - n, -n) \cdot \Omega) \\ &= f(\psi((t - n, -n) \cdot \Omega)) \\ &= f(t - n), \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\rho = \text{lt}|_N,$$

and we continue to denote this action by lt .

By construction this isomorphism is N -equivariant, and we have a corresponding isomorphism

$$\psi_* \times N : C_0(T/\Omega) \rtimes_{\text{lt}} N \xrightarrow{\cong} C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\text{lt}} N$$

determined by

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\psi_* \times N} \circ i_{C_0(T/\Omega)} &= i_{C_0(T/\Omega)} \circ \psi_* \\ \overline{\psi_* \times N} \circ i_N^{C_0(T/\Omega)} &= i_N^{C_0(\mathbb{R})}.\end{aligned}$$

We will compute the associated action of H on $C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\text{lt}} N$ by considering what H does on the generators. First, ψ transforms the action of H on T/Ω to an action on \mathbb{R} : for $h \in H$ and $(t, y) \in T = \mathbb{R} \times \Omega$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}h \cdot \psi((t, y) \cdot \Omega) &= \psi(h \cdot (t, y) \cdot \Omega) \\ &= \psi((ht, h \cdot y) \cdot \Omega) \\ &= ht.\end{aligned}$$

The isomorphism $\psi_* \times N$ transforms the action α of H on $C_0(T/\Omega) \rtimes_{\text{lt}} N$ to an action α' on $C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\text{lt}} N$, and we compute this action on the generators. Due to how α acts on the generators from $C_0(T/\Omega)$, for $h \in H$ we have

$$\overline{\alpha_n} \circ i_{C_0(T/\Omega)} = i_{C_0(T/\Omega)} \circ \alpha_h'^1$$

where α'^1 is the action of H on $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$\alpha_h'^1(f)(t) = f(ht) \quad \text{for } f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

On the other hand, the action α' behaves the same way on generators from N as α does:

$$\alpha_h' \circ i_N(n) = i_N(hn) \quad \text{for } n \in N.$$

Step 3. To complete the work on the crossed product $C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{aff}}} G$, we would like to know that our actions $\text{lt}|_N$ of N on $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ and α' of H on $C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\text{lt}} N$ agree with the decomposition of the $ax + b$ -action, so that the isomorphism

$$\psi_* \times N : C_0(T/\Omega) \rtimes_{\text{lt}} N \xrightarrow{\cong} C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\text{lt}} N$$

would give an H -equivariant isomorphism

$$C_0(T/\Omega) \rtimes_{\text{lt}} N \xrightarrow{\cong} C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{aff}}|_N} N,$$

as desired. Unfortunately, we will need to tweak the action α' a little bit to make this come out right, as we will see below.

But we can verify immediately what we need for $\alpha^{\text{aff}}|_N$: if $n \in N$, $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ then

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha^{\text{aff}}|_N)_n(f)(t) &= \alpha_n^{\text{aff}}(f)(t) \\ &= f(t - n) \\ &= \text{lt}_n(f)(t),\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\alpha^{\text{aff}}|_N = \text{lt}|_N.$$

On the other hand, if $h \in H$ then

$$\widetilde{\alpha^{\text{aff}}}_h \circ i_{C_0(\mathbb{R})} = i_{C_0(\mathbb{R})} \circ \alpha^{\text{aff}}_h,$$

where for $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\alpha^{\text{aff}}_h(f)(t) = f(h^{-1}t),$$

while

$$\alpha'_h \circ i_{C_0(\mathbb{R})} = i_{C_0(\mathbb{R})} \circ \alpha'^1_h,$$

where

$$\alpha'^1_h(f)(t) = f(ht).$$

Similarly,

$$\widetilde{\alpha^{\text{aff}}}_h \circ i_N(n) = i_N(h^{-1}n),$$

while

$$\alpha'_h \circ i_N(n) = i_N(hn).$$

Thus we see that

$$\widetilde{\alpha^{\text{aff}}}_h = \alpha'_{h^{-1}}.$$

Therefore, to fix things up we only need to make the following adjustment to the action α' : we compose with the inverse map on H , which, because H is abelian, gives an action α'' of H on $C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\text{lt}} N$, and now the above computations show that

$$\alpha'' = \widetilde{\alpha^{\text{aff}}}.$$

Since the isomorphism $\psi_* \times N$ is equivariant for the actions α and α' of H on $C_0(T/\Omega) \rtimes_{\text{lt}} N$ and $C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{aff}}|_N} N$, respectively, and since the crossed products

$$(C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{aff}}|_N} N) \rtimes_{\alpha'} H$$

and

$$(C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{aff}}|_N} N) \rtimes_{\alpha''} H$$

are isomorphic (because α'' is gotten from α' by composing with an automorphism of H), we conclude that

$$(C_0(T/\Omega) \rtimes_{\text{lt}} N) \rtimes_{\alpha} H \cong (C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{aff}}|_N} N) \rtimes_{\alpha''} H,$$

as desired.

Step 4. The isomorphism $\omega : N \backslash T \rightarrow \widehat{N^*}$ of Theorem 3.3 transforms the action of Ω on $N \backslash T$ to an action on $\widehat{N^*}$: for $p \in T$, $x \in \Omega$, and $n \in N^*$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\omega(N \cdot p) \cdot x)(n) &= \omega((N \cdot p) \cdot x)(n) \\
 &= \omega(N \cdot (p \cdot x))(n) \\
 &= \langle p \cdot x, (n, n) \rangle_T \\
 &= \langle p + (0, x), (n, n) \rangle_T \\
 &= \langle p, (n, n) \rangle_T \langle (0, x), (n, n) \rangle_T \\
 &= \omega(N \cdot p)(n) \langle x, n \rangle_\Omega,
 \end{aligned}$$

and, letting $\phi : N^* \hookrightarrow \Omega^*$ be the inclusion, we can continue the above as

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \omega(N \cdot p)(n) \langle x, \phi(n) \rangle_\Omega \\
 &= \omega(N \cdot p)(n) \hat{\phi}(x)(n) \\
 &= (\omega(N \cdot p) \hat{\phi}(x))(n),
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence the action of Ω on $\widehat{N^*}$ is given by

$$\chi \cdot x = \chi \hat{\phi}(x) \quad \text{for } \chi \in \widehat{N^*}.$$

The isomorphism ω induces an isomorphism $\omega_* : C_0(N \backslash T) \rightarrow C_0(\widehat{N^*})$:

$$\omega_*(f)(\chi) = f(\omega^{-1}(\chi)) \quad \text{for } f \in C_0(\widehat{N^*}) \text{ and } \chi \in \widehat{N^*}.$$

The isomorphism ω_* transforms the action rt of Ω on $C_0(N \backslash T)$ to an action κ on $C_0(\widehat{N^*})$: for $x \in \Omega$, $f \in C_0(\widehat{N^*})$, and $\chi \in \widehat{N^*}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \kappa_x(f)(\chi) &= \omega_* \circ \text{rt}_x \circ \omega_*^{-1}(f)(\chi) \\
 &= \text{rt}_x \circ \omega_*^{-1}(f)(\omega^{-1}(\chi)) \\
 &= \omega_*^{-1}(f)(\omega^{-1}(\chi) \cdot x) \\
 &= \omega_*^{-1}(f)(\omega^{-1}(\chi \cdot x)) \\
 &= f(\chi \hat{\phi}(x)),
 \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\kappa = \text{rt} \circ \hat{\phi},$$

as in Corollary A.4.

By construction this isomorphism is Ω -equivariant, and we have a corresponding isomorphism

$$\omega_* \times \Omega : C_0(N \setminus T) \rtimes_{\text{rt}} \Omega \xrightarrow{\cong} C_0(\widehat{N^*}) \rtimes_{\kappa} \Omega$$

determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\omega_* \times \Omega} \circ i_{C_0(N \setminus T)} &= i_{C_0(\widehat{N^*})} \circ \omega_* \\ \overline{\omega_* \times \Omega} \circ i_O^{C_0(N \setminus T)} &= i_{\Omega}^{C_0(\widehat{N^*})}. \end{aligned}$$

We will compute the associated action of H on $C_0(\widehat{N^*}) \rtimes_{\kappa} \Omega$ by considering what H does on the generators. First, ω transforms the action of H on $N \setminus T$ to an action on $\widehat{N^*}$: for $h \in H$, $(t, y) \in T = \mathbb{R} \times \Omega$, and $n \in N^*$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (h \cdot \omega(N \cdot (t, y)))(n) &= \omega(h \cdot N \cdot (t, y))(n) \\ &= \omega(N \cdot h \cdot (t, y))(n) \\ &= \langle (ht, h \cdot y), (n, n) \rangle_T \\ &= \langle ht, n \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \langle h \cdot y, n \rangle_{\Omega} \\ &= \langle t, hn \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \langle y, hn \rangle_{\Omega} \\ &= \langle (t, y), (hn, hn) \rangle_{\Omega} \\ &= \omega(N \cdot (t, y))(hn), \end{aligned}$$

and so the action of H on $\widehat{N^*}$ is given by

$$(h \cdot \chi)(n) = \chi(hn) \quad \text{for } \chi \in \widehat{N^*} \text{ and } n \in N^*.$$

The isomorphism $\omega_* \times \Omega$ transforms the action β of H on $C_0(N \setminus T) \rtimes_{\text{rt}} \Omega$ to an action β' on $C_0(\widehat{N^*}) \rtimes_{\kappa} \Omega$, and we compute this on the generators. Due to how β acts on the generators from $C_0(N \setminus T)$, for $h \in H$ we have

$$\overline{\beta'_h} \circ i_{C_0(\widehat{N^*})} = i_{C_0(\widehat{N^*})} \circ \beta_h'^1,$$

where β'^1 is the action of H on $C_0(\widehat{N^*})$ given by

$$\beta_h'^1(f)(\chi) = f(h \cdot \chi) \quad \text{for } f \in C_0(\widehat{N^*}) \text{ and } \chi \in \widehat{N^*}.$$

On the other hand, the action β' behaves the same way on generators from Ω as β does:

$$\beta_h' \circ i_{\Omega} = i_{\Omega} \circ \beta_h^2,$$

where β^2 is the action of H on $C^*(\Omega)$ from (4.4).

Step 5. We can now apply Corollary A.4, with the roles of H and G being played here by N^* and Ω^* , respectively. Thus N^* is a locally compact (discrete) group and the inclusion map $\phi : N^* \hookrightarrow \Omega^*$ is continuous. The action ϵ of the subgroup N^* on $C_0(\Omega^*)$ is left translation. It composed with the inclusion ϕ , and the action κ of Ω on $C_0(\widehat{N^*})$ is right translation rt composed with the dual homomorphism $\hat{\phi}$. Thus Corollary A.4 gives an isomorphism

$$\tau : C_0(\Omega^*) \rtimes_{\epsilon} N^* \xrightarrow{\cong} C_0(\widehat{N^*}) \rtimes_{\kappa} \Omega$$

such that

$$\tau \circ i_{C_0(\Omega^*)} = i_{\Omega} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\Omega}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau \circ i_{N^*} = i_{C_0(\widehat{N^*})} \circ \mathcal{F}_{N^*}.$$

The action β' of H on $C_0(\widehat{N^*}) \rtimes_{\kappa} \Omega$ corresponds under τ to an action β'' on $C_0(\Omega^*) \rtimes_{\epsilon} N^*$, which we compute on the generators. For $h \in H$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\beta''_h} \circ i_{C_0(\Omega^*)} &= \overline{\tau^{-1}} \circ \overline{\beta'_h} \circ \overline{\tau} \circ i_{C_0(\Omega^*)} \\ &= \overline{\tau^{-1}} \circ \overline{\beta'_h} \circ i_{\Omega} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\Omega}^{-1} \\ &= \overline{\tau^{-1}} \circ i_{\Omega} \circ \beta_h^2 \circ \mathcal{F}_{\Omega}^{-1} \\ &= i_{C_0(\Omega^*)} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\Omega} \circ \beta_h^2 \circ \mathcal{F}_{\Omega}^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and we compute, for $g \in C_c(\Omega^*) \subset C_0(\Omega^*)$ and $x \in \Omega^*$, that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\Omega} \circ \beta_h^2 \circ \mathcal{F}_{\Omega}^{-1}(g)(x) &= \int_{\Omega} \langle y, x \rangle_{\Omega} \beta_h^2 \circ \mathcal{F}_{\Omega}^{-1}(g)(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \langle y, x \rangle_{\Omega} \delta(h) \mathcal{F}_{\Omega}^{-1}(g)(h \cdot y) dy \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \langle h^{-1} \cdot y, x \rangle_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}_{\Omega}^{-1}(g)(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \langle y, h^{-1} \cdot x \rangle_{\Omega} \mathcal{F}_{\Omega}^{-1}(g)(y) dy \\ &= g(h^{-1} \cdot x), \end{aligned}$$

so that $\overline{\beta''_h} \circ i_{C_0(\Omega^*)} = i_{C_0(\Omega^*)} \circ \beta_h'^1$, where $\beta_h'^1$ is the action of H on $C_0(\Omega^*)$ given by

$$\beta_h'^1(g)(x) = g(h^{-1} \cdot x).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\beta''_h} \circ i_{N^*} &= \overline{\tau^{-1}} \circ \overline{\beta'_h} \circ \overline{\tau} \circ i_{N^*} \\ &= \overline{\tau^{-1}} \circ \overline{\beta'_h} \circ i_{C_0(\widehat{N^*})} \circ \mathcal{F}_{N^*} \\ &= \overline{\tau^{-1}} \circ i_{C_0(\widehat{N^*})} \circ \beta_n'^1 \circ \mathcal{F}_{N^*} \end{aligned}$$

$$= i_{N^*} \circ \mathcal{F}_{N^*}^{-1} \circ \beta_n'^1 \circ \mathcal{F}_{N^*},$$

so we see that

$$\overline{\beta_h''} \circ i_{N^*} = i_{N^*} \circ \beta_h''^2,$$

where $\beta_h''^2$ is the action of H on $C^*(N^*)$ determined by the following: for $g \in C_c(N^*)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{N^*}(\beta_h''^2(g))(\chi) &= \beta_h'^1(\mathcal{F}_{N^*}(g))(\chi) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{N^*}(g)(h \cdot \chi) \\ &= \sum_{n \in N^*} (h \cdot \chi)(n) g(n) \\ &= \sum_{n \in N^*} \chi(hn) g(n) \\ &= \sum_{n \in N^*} \chi(n) g(h^{-1}n), \end{aligned}$$

so we see that

$$\beta_h''^2(g)(n) = g(h^{-1}n) \quad \text{for } g \in C_c(N^*) \text{ and } n \in N^*.$$

Step 6. To complete the work on the crossed product $C_0(\Omega^*) \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{aff}}} G^*$, we show our actions ϵ and β'' agree with the decomposition of the $ax + b$ -action, so that the composition

$$\tau^{-1} \circ (\omega_* \times \Omega) : C_0(N \setminus T) \rtimes_{\text{rt}} \Omega \xrightarrow{\cong} C^*(\Omega^*) \rtimes_{\epsilon} N^*$$

gives an H -equivariant isomorphism

$$C_0(N \setminus T) \rtimes_{\text{rt}} \Omega \xrightarrow{\cong} C_0(\Omega^*) \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{aff}}|_{N^*}} N^*,$$

which will finish the proof.

For the first, if $n \in N^*$, $f \in C_0(\Omega^*)$, and $x \in \Omega^*$ then

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha^{\text{aff}}|_{N^*})_n(f)(x) &= \alpha_n^{\text{aff}}(f)(x) \\ &= f(x - n) \\ &= \text{lt}_n(f)(x), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(\alpha^{\text{aff}}|_{N^*})_n = \text{lt}_n = \text{lt}_{\phi(n)} = \epsilon_n.$$

For the second, if $h \in H$ then

$$\widetilde{\alpha^{\text{aff}}}_h \circ i_{C_0(\Omega^*)} = i_{C_0(\Omega^*)} \circ \alpha_h^{\text{aff}}$$

and

$$\beta_h'' \circ i_{C_0(\Omega^*)} = i_{C_0(\Omega^*)} \circ \beta_h''^1,$$

and we see immediately from the definitions that $\alpha^{\text{aff}}_h = \beta_h''^1$, and for the generators from N^* we have

$$\widetilde{\alpha^{\text{aff}}_h} \circ i_{N^*} = i_{N^*} \circ \widetilde{\alpha^{\text{aff}}_h}^2$$

and

$$\beta_h'' \circ i_{N^*} = i_{N^*} \circ \beta_h''^2,$$

and again it follows immediately from the definitions that $\widetilde{\alpha^{\text{aff}}_h}^2 = \beta_h''^2$. Thus we have shown that

$$\widetilde{\alpha^{\text{aff}}_h} = \beta_h''^2,$$

and the proof is complete. \square

Remark 4.2. The result is related to [14, Proposition 3.30]. Note that the C^* -algebras will actually be isomorphic by Zhang's dichotomy: A separable, simple, purely infinite C^* -algebra is either unital or stable.

If a is defined by $a_i = 2$ for all i and $H = \langle 2 \rangle$, then this result coincides with [13, Theorem 7.5].

Remark 4.3. Without any condition on P (or by taking $H = \{1\}$) there is a Morita equivalence

$$C_0(\Omega) \rtimes_{\text{lt}} N \sim_M C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\text{lt}} N^*.$$

Remark 4.4. Let M be a subgroup of N that is dense in Ω , so that by Lemma 2.13 M is of the form qN for some $q \geq 2$. By applying Remark 3.4 to the above argument, we see that when H is a nontrivial subgroup of S there is a Morita equivalence

$$C_0(\Omega) \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{aff}}} (M \rtimes H) \sim_M C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_{\alpha^{\text{aff}}} (M^* \rtimes H),$$

where the action on each side is the $ax + b$ -action.

5. ISOMORPHISM RESULTS

Let \mathbb{P} be the set of prime numbers. A supernatural number is a function

$$\lambda : \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$$

such that $\sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \lambda(p) = \infty$. Denote the set of supernatural numbers by \mathbb{S} . It may sometimes be useful to consider a supernatural number as an infinite formal product

$$\lambda = 2^{\lambda(2)} 3^{\lambda(3)} 5^{\lambda(5)} 7^{\lambda(7)} \dots$$

Let λ and ρ be two supernatural numbers associated with the sequence a in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(p) &= \sup \{i : p^i \text{ divides } a_0 \dots a_j \text{ for some } j \geq 0\} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\} \\ \rho(p) &= \sup \{i : p^i \text{ divides } a_{-1} \dots a_{-k} \text{ for some } k \geq 1\} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let a and b be two sequences, then the following hold:*

- (i) $\Delta_a \cong \Delta_b$ if and only if $\lambda_a = \lambda_b$.
- (ii) $N_a = N_b$ if and only if $\rho_a = \rho_b$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{U}_a = \mathcal{U}_b$ if and only if both $\lambda_a = \lambda_b$ and $\rho_a = \rho_b$.

Proof. From [8, Theorem 25.16] we have

$$\Delta \cong \prod_{p \in \lambda^{-1}(\infty)} \mathbb{Z}_p \times \prod_{p \in \lambda^{-1}(\mathbb{N})} \mathbb{Z}/p^{\lambda(p)}\mathbb{Z}$$

and hence (i) hold. It is not difficult to see that condition (ii), and (iii) also hold. \square

This means that there is a one-to-one correspondence between supernatural numbers and noncyclic (additive) subgroups of \mathbb{Q} , and also between supernatural numbers and Hausdorff completions of \mathbb{Z} .

Condition (iii) is equivalent to $a \sim b$, which means that there exists an isomorphism $\Omega_a \rightarrow \Omega_b$ that maps 1 to 1. More generally, for two sequences a and b , the following result tells precisely when Ω_a and Ω_b are isomorphic.

Proposition 5.2. *Let a and b be two sequences. Then $\Omega_a \cong \Omega_b$ if and only if there are natural numbers p and q such that*

$$(\dots, a_{-2}, qa_{-1}, pa_0, a_1, \dots) \sim (\dots, b_{-2}, pb_{-1}, qb_0, b_1, \dots).$$

Proof. Suppose that there exists an isomorphism $\varphi : \Omega_a \rightarrow \Omega_b$. Then $\varphi(0) = 0$, so open neighbourhoods around $0 \in \Omega_a$ map to open neighbourhoods around $0 \in \Omega_b$. In particular, $\varphi(\Delta_a)$ must be a compact open subgroup of Ω_b , that is $\varphi(\Delta_a) = \overline{V}$ for some $V = \frac{p}{q}\mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{U}_b$ by Lemma 1.1. Hence, for all $U = \frac{m}{n}\mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{U}_a$ we have that

$$n \cdot \varphi(\overline{U}) = m \cdot \varphi(\Delta_a) = m \cdot \overline{V} = \overline{m \frac{p}{q} \mathbb{Z}}.$$

If m' divides m and n' divides n , then $\frac{m'}{n'}\mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{U}_a$ as well. Thus the injectivity of φ implies that $m' \frac{p}{q} \mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{U}_b$ and thus also that $\frac{pm}{qn} \mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{U}_b$, after applying Remark 2.14. Consequently,

$$\varphi\left(\frac{m}{n}\mathbb{Z}\right) = \overline{\frac{pm}{qn}\mathbb{Z}},$$

and $\frac{m}{n}\mathbb{Z}$ belongs to \mathcal{U}_a if and only if $\frac{pm}{qn}\mathbb{Z}$ belongs to \mathcal{U}_b . Hence, $\mathcal{U}_b = \{\frac{p}{q}U : U \in \mathcal{U}_a\}$, so we conclude that

$$\mathcal{U}'_a = \{\frac{m}{n}U : m|p, n|q, U \in \mathcal{U}_a\} = \{\frac{m}{n}V : m|q, n|p, V \in \mathcal{U}_b\} = \mathcal{U}'_b. \quad \square$$

Remark 5.3. We can now see that the structure of Ω is preserved under the following operations:

- factoring out entries, that is, for $a_i = cd$,
 $(\dots, a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots) \mapsto (\dots, a_{i-1}, c, d, a_{i+1}, \dots).$
- multiplying entries, that is,
 $(\dots, a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, \dots) \mapsto (\dots, a_{i-1}, a_i a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, \dots).$
- interchanging entries, that is,
 $(\dots, a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, \dots) \mapsto (\dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, a_i, a_{i+2}, \dots).$
- shifting the sequence, that is, $a \mapsto b$, where $b_i = a_{i+n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

However, the following operations on the sequence do not in general preserve the structure of Ω :

- removing an entry, that is,
 $(\dots, a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, \dots) \mapsto (\dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, \dots).$
- adding an entry, that is,
 $(\dots, a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots) \mapsto (\dots, a_{i-1}, a_i, c, a_{i+1}, \dots).$
- reflecting the sequence, that is, $a \mapsto b$, where $b_i = a_{-i+n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The first two operations preserve the structure of Ω if only if the prime factors of the entries removed or added occur infinitely many times in the sequence. When Ω is self-dual, reflections will preserve the structure (see Proposition 5.7).

Note that if $\Omega_a \cong \Omega_b$, then $\lambda_a(p) + \rho_a(p) = \lambda_b(p) + \rho_b(p)$ for all primes p . In particular, $\lambda_a^{-1}(0) \cap \rho_a^{-1}(0) = \lambda_b^{-1}(0) \cap \rho_b^{-1}(0)$, that is, $Q_a = Q_b$. That means that if $q \geq 2$, qN_a is dense in Ω_a if and only if qN_b is dense in Ω_b .

Corollary 5.4. *If $\Omega_a \cong \Omega_b$, then $S_a = S_b$ and $N_a \cong N_b$.*

In particular, there exists an isomorphism $\Omega_a \rightarrow \Omega_b$ restricting to an isomorphism $N_a \rightarrow N_b$.

Proof. This first statement holds since both $\lambda_a^{-1}(\infty) = \lambda_b^{-1}(\infty)$ and $\rho_a^{-1}(\infty) = \rho_b^{-1}(\infty)$ and thus

$$S_a = \langle \lambda_a^{-1}(\infty) \cap \rho_a^{-1}(\infty) \rangle = \langle \lambda_b^{-1}(\infty) \cap \rho_b^{-1}(\infty) \rangle = S_b.$$

The second statement is a consequence of the proof of 5.2. If p and q are as in that proof, then $N_b = \frac{p}{q}N_a$. Moreover, the isomorphism

$N_a \rightarrow N_b$ given by $r \mapsto \frac{p}{q}r$ is continuous with respect to $(\mathcal{U}_a, \mathcal{U}_b)$ and therefore extends to an isomorphism $\Omega_a \rightarrow \Omega_b$ of the completions. \square

If λ is a supernatural number and p is a prime, let $p\lambda$ denote the supernatural number given by $(p\lambda)(p) = \lambda(p) + 1$ (with the convention that $\infty + 1 = \infty$) and $(p\lambda)(q) = \lambda(q)$ if $p \neq q$.

For a sequence a , we let λ^* and ρ^* be the supernatural numbers associated with a^* . Note that in general one has $\lambda^* = a_0\rho$, so $a \sim a^*$ if and only if $\lambda = a_0\rho$.

Remark 5.5. With the notation of Section 3.1, we have $\lambda = \rho$ if and only if $a \sim a^\#$.

Corollary 5.6 (of Proposition 5.2). *Let a and b be two sequences. Then $\Omega_a \cong \Omega_b$ if and only if there are natural numbers p and q such that $p\lambda_a = q\lambda_b$ and $q\rho_a = p\rho_b$.*

Proposition 5.7. *Ω is self-dual if and only if there are integers p and q such that $p\lambda = q\rho$.*

Proof. From Section 3, with reference to [8, 25.1], we get $\Omega \cong \widehat{\Omega}$ if and only if $\Omega \cong \Omega^*$. Thus, Proposition 5.2 and the comment above imply that $\Omega \cong \widehat{\Omega}$ if and only if there are natural numbers p', q' such that $p'\lambda = q'a_0\rho$. Clearly, this is equivalent to the existence of natural numbers p and q such that $p\lambda = q\rho$. \square

5.1. Isomorphisms related to the a -adic duality theorem.

Remark 5.8. If M_1 and M_2 are two subgroups of \mathbb{Q} , then $M_1 \cong M_2$ if and only if $M_1 = rM_2$ for some positive rational r . Indeed, every homomorphism $M_i \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is completely determined by its value at one point.

Thus, if M_1 and M_2 are noncyclic subgroups of \mathbb{Q} , with associated supernatural numbers λ_1 and λ_2 , then $M_1 \cong M_2$ if and only if there are natural numbers r_1 and r_2 such that $r_1\lambda_1 = r_2\lambda_2$. We write $\lambda_1 \sim \lambda_2$ in this case.

Remark 5.9. Since \mathbb{Q}_+^\times is a free abelian group (on the set of primes), this is also the case for all its subgroups. In particular, both S and all $H \subset S$ are free abelian groups.

Proposition 5.10. *Suppose $\Omega_a \cong \Omega_b$. Assume that H is a subgroup of $S_a = S_b$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}(a, H) \cong \overline{\mathcal{Q}}(b, H)$.*

Proof. Since $\Omega_a \cong \Omega_b$, there exists an isomorphism $\omega : \Omega_a \rightarrow \Omega_b$ restricting to an isomorphism $N_a \rightarrow N_b$. Then the map $\varphi : C_c(N_a \rtimes$

$H, C_0(\Omega_a)) \rightarrow C_c(N_b \rtimes H, C_0(\Omega_b))$ given by

$$\varphi(f)(n, h)(x) = f(\omega^{-1}(n), h)(\omega^{-1}(x))$$

determines the isomorphism $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}(a, H) \cong \overline{\mathcal{Q}}(b, H)$. \square

For two pairs of supernatural numbers (λ_1, ρ_1) and (λ_2, ρ_2) , we write $(\lambda_1, \rho_1) \sim (\lambda_2, \rho_2)$ if there exist natural numbers p and q such that $p\lambda_1 = q\lambda_2$ and $q\rho_1 = p\rho_2$. Then the set of isomorphism classes of a -adic numbers coincide with $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S} / \sim$. Moreover, the pair $([\lambda, \rho], H)$, where H is a nontrivial subgroup of $\langle \lambda^{-1}(\infty) \cap \rho^{-1}(\infty) \rangle$, is an isomorphism invariant for the a -adic algebra $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}(a, H)$.

Lemma 5.11. *For all $H \subset S$ and rational numbers r we have*

$$C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes (N \rtimes H) \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes (rN \rtimes H).$$

Proof. The isomorphism is determined by the map

$$\varphi : C_c(rN \rtimes H, C_0(\mathbb{R})) \rightarrow C_c(N \rtimes H, C_0(\mathbb{R}))$$

given by

$$\varphi(f)(n, h)(x) = f(rn, h)(rx). \quad \square$$

Remark 5.12. Let a be a sequence, and N the associated a -adic rationals. Let M be a subgroup of N that is dense in Ω . Let $N^{(m)}$ be the group of rationals corresponding to $a^{(m)}$. Let a' be another sequence, with associated group Ω' and associated rationals N' . Suppose $\Omega \cong \Omega'$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes (N^* \rtimes H) &\cong C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes (M^* \rtimes H) \\ C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes (N^* \rtimes H) &\cong C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes (N^{(m)} \rtimes H) \\ C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes (N^* \rtimes H) &\cong C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes ((N')^* \rtimes H). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $([\lambda], H)$, where H is a nontrivial subgroup of $\langle \lambda^{-1}(\infty) \cap \rho^{-1}(\infty) \rangle$ is an isomorphism invariant for the right hand side of the a -adic duality theorem (Theorem 4.1).

Moreover, by Theorem 4.1 and Remark 4.2, it should be clear that $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}(a, H) \cong \overline{\mathcal{Q}}(b, K)$ if $N_a^* \cong N_b^*$ and $H = K$, even though the isomorphism is in general not canonical. Therefore, $([\lambda], H)$ is an isomorphism invariant also for $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}(a, H)$.

Example 5.13. Let a and b be the sequences of Examples 1.5 and 1.6, and let $H = \langle 2 \rangle$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}(a, H) \cong \overline{\mathcal{Q}}(b, H)$. Moreover, these algebras are also isomorphic to $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_2$, but this isomorphism is not canonical.

Question 5.14. Given two sequences a and b and subgroups $H \subset S_a$ and $K \subset S_b$. When is $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}(a, H) \not\cong \overline{\mathcal{Q}}(b, K)$?

To enlighten the question, consider the following situation. Let $a = (n, n, n, \dots)$ and $H = \langle n \rangle$ (note that $H = S$ if and only if n is prime; see also Example 2.12 above). Then $\mathcal{Q}(a, H)$ is the $\mathcal{O}(E_{n,1})$ of [10, Example A.6]. Thus

$$(K_0(\mathcal{Q}(a, H)), [1], K_1(\mathcal{Q}(a, H))) = (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(n-1)\mathbb{Z}, (0, 1), \mathbb{Z}).$$

Moreover, since $\mathcal{Q}(a, H)$ are Kirchberg algebras in the UCT class, they are classifiable by K-theory.

In future work we hope to be able to compute the K-theory of $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}(a, H)$ using the following strategy. Since $C_0(\Omega) \rtimes N$ is stably isomorphic to the Bunce-Deddens algebra $C(\Delta) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, its K-theory is well-known, in fact

$$(K_0(C(\Delta) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}), [1], K_1(C(\Delta) \rtimes \mathbb{Z})) = (N^\#, 1, \mathbb{Z}).$$

As H is a free abelian group, we can apply the Pimsner-Voiculescu six-term exact sequence by adding the action of one generator of H at a time. For this to work out, we will need to apply Theorem 4.1 to compute the action of H on the K-groups.

5.2. The ring structure.

Theorem 5.15 (E. Herman, see [16, 12.3.35]). *The a -adic numbers Ω can be given the structure of a topological (commutative) ring with multiplication inherited from $N \subset \mathbb{Q}$ if and only if*

$$(5.1) \quad N = \bigcup_{h \in S} h\mathbb{Z} \quad \left(= \mathbb{Z} \left[\left\{ \frac{1}{p} : p \in P \right\} \right] \right).$$

Again, by the a -adic duality theorem (Theorem 4.1) and Zhang's dichotomy (Remark 4.2):

Corollary 5.16. *For every sequence a , there is a sequence b such that Ω_b is a ring and $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}(a, H) \cong \overline{\mathcal{Q}}(b, H)$.*

Warning: $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}(b, H)$ is still not a ring algebra in the sense of [15].

Proof. Given a , we can find another sequence b such that $S_a = S_b$, $N_a^* = N_b^*$ and Ω_b is a ring. More precisely, b can be constructed by setting $\lambda_b = \lambda_a$ and defining ρ_b by $\rho_b(p) = \infty$ if $p \in S_a$ and $\rho_b(p) = 0$ if $p \notin S_a$. Then Ω_b is a ring by Theorem 5.15. By Theorem 4.1 and Remark 4.2 (see also the comment above) we have $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}(a, H) \cong \overline{\mathcal{Q}}(b, H)$. \square

Lemma 5.17. *If both Ω_a and Ω_b are rings, then $\Omega_a \cong \Omega_b$ as topological rings if and only if $a \sim b$.*

Remark 5.18. Suppose Ω is a ring. Then Ω is an integral domain if and only if there is a prime p such that a_i is a power of p for all i . In this case Ω is actually the field \mathbb{Q}_p .

Finally, Ω is both a ring and self-dual precisely when $\lambda(p) = \rho(p) = 0$ or ∞ for all primes p . In this case, Ω is completely determined by the set of primes P .

Remark 5.19. Set $\mathcal{U}_P = \{\frac{m}{n}\mathbb{Z} \in \mathcal{U} : n \in S\} = \{U \in \mathcal{U} : U \subset \mathbb{Z}[\{\frac{1}{p} : p \in P\}]\}$. Then the open subgroup

$$R = \overline{\mathbb{Z}[\{\frac{1}{p} : p \in P\}]} = \overline{\bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}_P} U}$$

in Ω is the maximal open (and closed) ring contained in Ω . Indeed, by Theorem 5.15, R is a ring contained in Ω . Moreover, every open ring in Ω must be of the form described in Lemma 1.1. We recall that multiplication with $\frac{1}{p} \in N$ in an open subgroup of Ω is well-defined only if it contains $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ and continuous only if $p \in P$. Hence every open ring in Ω must be a subring of R .

Furthermore, every automorphism of Ω is completely determined by its value at 1. Hence $\text{Aut}(\Omega)$ is the subgroup of the multiplicative group R^\times of R consisting of all $x \in R^\times$ that has a “unique inverse” in Ω . To illustrate what this means, consider Example 1.6 again and let

$$x = (\dots, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, \dots),$$

where the first nonzero entry is x_0 , and let y be given by $y_{-1} = 1$ and $y_i = 0$ else. In this case $R = \overline{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}$ and $3 \in R^\times$, $x \in R^\times$ and $3x = 1$. However, since $3y = 1$ as well, $3 \notin \text{Aut}(\Omega)$.

Following the notation of Remark 2.14, $\{\pm r : r \in \langle P \cup Q \rangle\}$ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Omega)$.

APPENDIX A. CUNTZ-LI’S “SUBGROUP OF DUAL GROUP THEOREM”

Our aim in this appendix is to show that [5, Lemma 4.3] is a special case of the following result about coactions, which is probably folklore. First observe that if $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ is a continuous homomorphism of locally compact groups, then $\text{lt} \circ \phi : H \rightarrow \text{Aut } C_0(G)$ is an action of H on $C_0(G)$ and $\overline{\text{id} \otimes \pi_\phi} \circ \delta_H$ is a coaction of G on $C^*(H)$, where

$$\pi_\phi : C^*(H) \rightarrow M(C^*(G))$$

is the integrated form of ϕ . The only property of coactions that is perhaps not obvious is injectivity, but this follows by computing that

$$\overline{\text{id} \otimes \pi_{1_G}} \circ \overline{\text{id} \otimes \pi_\phi} \circ \delta_H = \overline{\text{id} \otimes \pi_{1_H}} \circ \delta_H = \text{id}_{C^*(H)},$$

where 1_G denotes the trivial character of G and $\pi_{1_G} : C^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denotes the integrated form (and similarly for π_{1_H}).

Theorem A.1. *Let $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ be a continuous homomorphism of locally compact groups, and let*

$$\epsilon = \text{lt} \circ \phi \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = \overline{\text{id} \otimes \pi_\phi} \circ \delta_H$$

be the associated action of H on $C_0(G)$ and coaction of G on $C^(H)$, respectively. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$C_0(G) \rtimes_\epsilon H \xrightarrow[\cong]{\theta} C^*(H) \rtimes_\delta G$$

such that

$$\bar{\theta} \circ i_{C_0(G)} = j_G \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\theta} \circ i_H = j_{C^*(H)}.$$

Proof. It suffices to show that, given nondegenerate homomorphisms

$$\mu : C_0(G) \rightarrow M(D) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi : C^*(H) \rightarrow M(D),$$

the pair (μ, π) is covariant for the action $(C_0(G), H, \epsilon)$ if and only if the pair (π, μ) is covariant for the coaction $(C^*(H), G, \delta)$. First assume that (μ, π) is covariant, i.e.,

$$\mu \circ \epsilon_t = \text{Ad } \bar{\pi}_t \circ \mu \quad \text{for } t \in H.$$

We must show that for $t \in H$ we have

$$\text{Ad } \overline{\mu \otimes \text{id}}(w_G)(\bar{\pi}_t \otimes 1) = \overline{\pi \otimes \text{id}} \circ \bar{\delta}(t),$$

equivalently

$$\overline{\mu \otimes \text{id}}(w_G)(\bar{\pi}_t \otimes 1) = \overline{\pi \otimes \text{id}} \circ \bar{\delta}(t) \overline{\mu \otimes \text{id}}(w_G).$$

Since the slice maps $\overline{\text{id} \otimes h}$ for $h \in B(G)$ separate points in $M(D \otimes C^*(G))$, it suffices to compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{id} \otimes h}(\overline{\mu \otimes \text{id}}(w_G)(\bar{\pi}_t \otimes 1)) &= \overline{\text{id} \otimes h} \circ \overline{\mu \otimes \text{id}}(w_G) \bar{\pi}_t \\ &= \mu(h) \bar{\pi}_t, \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{id} \otimes h}(\overline{\pi \otimes \text{id}} \circ \bar{\delta}(t) \overline{\mu \otimes \text{id}}(w_G)) &= \overline{\text{id} \otimes h}((\bar{\pi}_t \otimes \phi(t)) \overline{\mu \otimes \text{id}}(w_G)) \\ &= \bar{\pi}_t \overline{\text{id} \otimes h}((1 \otimes \phi(t)) \overline{\mu \otimes \text{id}}(w_G)) \\ &= \bar{\pi}_t \overline{\text{id} \otimes h}(\overline{\mu \otimes \text{id}}((1 \otimes \phi(t)) w_G)) \\ &= \bar{\pi}_t \overline{\text{id} \otimes h}(\overline{\mu \otimes \text{id}}(\text{lt}_{\phi(t)^{-1}} \otimes \text{id}(w_G))) \\ &= \bar{\pi}_t \overline{\text{id} \otimes h}(\overline{\mu \otimes \text{id}}(\epsilon_{t^{-1}} \otimes \text{id}(w_G))) \\ &= \bar{\pi}_t \mu \circ \epsilon_{t^{-1}}(\overline{\text{id} \otimes h}(w_G)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \bar{\pi}_t \operatorname{Ad} \bar{\pi}_{t^{-1}} \circ \mu(h) \\
&= \mu(h) \bar{\pi}_t.
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, assuming that (π, μ) is a covariant homomorphism of the coaction $(C^*(H), G, \delta)$, we can use much of the above computation, but now with $\omega \in A(G)$, getting (after replacing t by t^{-1})

$$\mu \circ \epsilon_t(\omega) = \operatorname{Ad} \bar{\pi}(t) \circ \mu(\omega),$$

which implies that (μ, π) is covariant for the action $(C_0(G), H, \epsilon)$ because $A(G)$ is dense in $C_0(G)$. \square

Now we want to make the connection with the Cuntz-Li subgroup-of-the-dual-group theorem [5, Lemma 4.3]. So, suppose G is abelian. We will want to work with the dual group of G , and to make the closest connection with [5] it will be better, for Corollary A.3 only, to switch the roles of G and \widehat{G} : so after this switch we have a continuous homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow \widehat{G}$. Actually, for the Cuntz-Li theorem ϕ will be injective, and in [5] H is identified with its image in \widehat{G} .

Remark A.2. There is a small difference between Corollary A.3 and [5, Lemma 4.3]: we require H to have a stronger topology that it inherits from \widehat{G} , while [5] only requires the topology to make it a locally compact group such that the above μ and ν are continuous actions of G and H on the C^* -algebras $C^*(H)$ and $C^*(G)$, respectively. As Cuntz and Li mention in [5], they are interested in the case where the topology on H is discrete, so our formulation of the result is sufficient for their purposes.

To prepare for the formulation of the subgroup-of-the-dual-group theorem, we briefly recall a bit of the theory of noncommutative duality from [7, Appendix A]. [7, Example A.23] shows that there is a bijective correspondence between coactions of \widehat{G} and actions of G : given a coaction δ of \widehat{G} on a C^* -algebra A , the associated action μ of G is given by

$$\mu_x(a) = \overline{\operatorname{id} \otimes \mathcal{F}^*(e_x)} \circ \delta,$$

where $e_x \in C_0(G)^*$ is evaluation at x and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\widehat{G}} : C^*(\widehat{G}) \rightarrow C_0(G)$ is the Fourier transform. Warning: in [7, Example A.23] the convention for the Fourier transform is that $\overline{\mathcal{F}}(\chi)(x) = \chi(x)$ for $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ and $x \in G$; consequently $\mathcal{F}^*(e_x)$ coincides with the function in the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra $B(\widehat{G}) = C^*(\widehat{G})^*$ given by the character x of \widehat{G} . As explained in [7, Section A.5], the covariant representations of the coaction (A, \widehat{G}, δ)

and the action (A, G, μ) are the same modulo the isomorphism $\mathcal{F}_G : C^*(G) \rightarrow C_0(\widehat{G})$, so there is an isomorphism

$$\Upsilon : A \rtimes_{\delta} \widehat{G} \xrightarrow{\cong} A \rtimes_{\mu} G$$

such that

$$\Upsilon \circ j_A = i_A \quad \text{and} \quad \Upsilon \circ j_{\widehat{G}} = i_G \circ \mathcal{F}_{\widehat{G}}^{-1}.$$

Corollary A.3 ([5]). *Let G be a locally compact abelian group, and let H be a subgroup of the dual group \widehat{G} . Let H have a topology, stronger than the one it inherits from \widehat{G} , that makes it a locally compact group. Then there are actions ν of H on $C^*(G)$ and μ of G on $C^*(H)$, given by*

(A.1)

$$\nu_t(g)(x) = t(x)g(x) \quad \text{for } t \in H, g \in C_c(G) \subset C^*(G), \text{ and } x \in G.$$

and

(A.2)

$$\mu_x(f)(t) = \overline{t(x)}f(t) \quad \text{for } x \in G, f \in C_c(H) \subset C^*(H), \text{ and } t \in H,$$

respectively.

Moreover there is an isomorphism

$$\sigma : C^*(G) \rtimes_{\nu} H \xrightarrow{\cong} C^*(H) \rtimes_{\mu} G$$

such that for $g \in C_c(G)$ and $h \in C_c(H)$ the image $\sigma(i_{C^*(G)}(g)i_H(f))$ coincides with the element of

$$C_c(G, C_c(H)) \subset C_c(G, C^*(H))$$

given by

$$\sigma(i_{C^*(G)}(g)i_H(f))(x)(t) = \overline{t(x)}g(x)f(t),$$

Proof. This will follow quickly from Theorem A.1 and the above facts relating coactions and actions, modulo one extra step: we will need to compose with the inverse in both H and G to get the actions in the precise form of the statement of the corollary. We do this in order to get as close as possible to the Cuntz-Li subgroup-of-the-dual-group theorem, and this extra adjustment is necessitated by our nonstandard convention for the Fourier transform.

The hypotheses tell us that, in the notation of Theorem A.1 (but again with G replaced by \widehat{G}), the homomorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ is the inclusion map, so the action ϵ of H on $C_0(\widehat{G})$ is just the restriction of it to H . The Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}_G^{-1} : C_0(\widehat{G}) \xrightarrow{\cong} C^*(G)$$

transforms the action ϵ to an action $\tilde{\nu}$ of H on $C^*(G)$. We compute that for $t \in H$ the automorphism $\tilde{\nu}_t$ of $C^*(G)$ is the integrated form of the homomorphism $V_t : G \rightarrow M(C^*(G))$ given by

$$V_t(x) = \mathcal{F}_G^{-1} \circ \epsilon_t \circ \overline{\mathcal{F}}_G(x) = \mathcal{F}_G^{-1} \left(\overline{t(x)} \mathcal{F}_G(x) \right) = \overline{t(x)} x.$$

Thus for $g \in C_c(G) \subset C^*(G)$ we have

$$\tilde{\nu}_t(g) = \int_G g(x) V_t(x) dx = \int_G g(x) \overline{t(x)} x dx,$$

so

$$\tilde{\nu}_t(g)(x) = \overline{t(x)} g(x).$$

On the other hand, we can let $\tilde{\mu}$ be the action of G on $C^*(H)$ corresponding to the coaction δ of \widehat{G} . Then for $x \in G$ the automorphism $\tilde{\mu}_x$ of $C^*(H)$ is the integrated form of the homomorphism $U_x : H \rightarrow M(C^*(H))$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} U_x(t) &= \overline{\text{id} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\widehat{G}}^*(e_x)} \circ \bar{\delta}(t) = \overline{\text{id} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\widehat{G}}^*(e_x)}(t \otimes t) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{\widehat{G}}^*(e_x)(t)t = t(x)t. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $f \in C_c(H) \subset C^*(H)$ we have

$$\tilde{\mu}_x(f)(t) = t(x)f(t).$$

We now compose with the inverse in both H and G to get actions ν of H on $C^*(G)$ and μ of G on $C^*(H)$ as in (A.1) and (A.2), respectively.

Finally, for $g \in C_c(G)$ and $h \in C_c(H)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(i_{C^*(G)}(g)i_H(f)) &= i_G(g)i_{C^*(H)}(f) \\ &= \int_G g(x)i_G(x)i_{C^*(H)}(f) dx \\ &= \int_G g(x)i_{C^*(H)}(\mu_x(f))i_G(x) dx \\ &= \int_G i_{C^*(H)}(g(x)\mu_x(f))i_G(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

so $\sigma(i_{C^*(G)}(g)i_H(f))$ coincides with the element of $C_c(G, C_c(H))$ given by

$$\sigma(i_{C^*(G)}(g)i_H(f))(x) = g(x)\mu_x(f),$$

and evaluating this function at $t \in H$ gives

$$\sigma(i_{C^*(G)}(g)i_H(f))(x)(t) = g(x)\mu_x(f)(t) = \overline{t(x)}g(x)f(t). \quad \square$$

Now we will present a third version of the subgroup-of-the-dual-group theorem. In contrast to the Cuntz-Li version, which involved actions on the group C^* -algebras of G and H , for our purposes it will be more convenient to have a version of Theorem A.1 with actions on the C_0 -functions on both sides. Also, we will now switch the roles of G and \widehat{G} back, and we will not require H to embed injectively into G :

Corollary A.4. *Let $\phi : H \rightarrow G$ be a continuous homomorphism of locally compact abelian groups, with dual homomorphism*

$$\hat{\phi} : \widehat{G} \rightarrow \widehat{H}.$$

Let

$$\epsilon = \text{lt} \circ \phi \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa = \text{rt} \circ \hat{\phi}$$

be the associated actions of H on $C_0(G)$ and \widehat{G} on $C_0(\widehat{H})$, respectively. Then there is an isomorphism

$$(A.3) \quad C_0(G) \rtimes_{\epsilon} H \xrightarrow[\cong]{\tau} C_0(\widehat{H}) \rtimes_{\kappa} \widehat{G}$$

such that

$$\bar{\tau} \circ i_{C_0(G)} = i_{\widehat{G}} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\widehat{G}}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\tau} \circ i_H = i_{C_0(\widehat{H})} \circ \mathcal{F}_H.$$

Proof. From Theorem A.1 we have a coaction δ of G on $C^*(H)$ and an isomorphism

$$(A.4) \quad \theta : C_0(G) \rtimes_{\epsilon} H \xrightarrow{\cong} C^*(H) \rtimes_{\delta} G$$

such that

$$\theta \circ i_{C_0(G)} = j_G \quad \text{and} \quad \theta \circ i_H = j_{C^*(H)}.$$

As we explained above Corollary A.3, the coaction δ of G corresponds to an action of G on $C^*(H)$. We used this in Corollary A.3, but there were a couple of differences between the contexts there and here, so to avoid confusion we do the computation anew, with fresh notation: we denote the associated action by κ^0 , and compute that for $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ the automorphism κ_{χ}^0 of $C^*(H)$ is the integrated form of the homomorphism $R_{\chi} : H \rightarrow M(C^*(H))$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\chi}(t) &= (\text{id} \otimes \mathcal{F}_G^*(e_{\chi})) \circ \delta(t) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes \mathcal{F}_G^*(e_{\chi}))(t \otimes \phi(t)) \\ &= \chi(\phi(t))t, \end{aligned}$$

so that for $f \in C_c(H) \subset C^*(H)$ we have

$$\kappa_{\chi}^0(f) = (\chi \circ \phi)f.$$

Now, due to our convention regarding the Fourier transform, for $\zeta \in \widehat{H}$ and $f \in C_c(H)$ we have

$$\mathcal{F}_H(\zeta f) = \text{rt}_\zeta \circ \mathcal{F}_H(f).$$

Thus, the isomorphism $\mathcal{F}_H : C^*(H) \rightarrow C_0(\widehat{H})$ carries the action κ^0 to an action κ^1 of G on $C_0(\widehat{H})$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_\chi^1(\mathcal{F}_H(f)) &= \mathcal{F}_H(\kappa_\chi^0(f)) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_H((\chi \circ \phi)f) \\ &= \text{rt}_{\chi \circ \phi}(\mathcal{F}_H(f)), \end{aligned}$$

so that κ^1 is given on $g \in C_0(\widehat{H})$ by

$$\kappa_\chi^1(g) = \text{rt}_{\hat{\phi}(\chi)}(g)$$

and hence κ^1 agrees with the action $\kappa = \text{rt} \circ \hat{\phi}$ defined in the statement of the corollary.

Now we trace the effects of the various transformations as we convert the isomorphism θ of (A.4) to the isomorphism τ of (A.3): we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \circ i_{C_0(G)} &= (\mathcal{F}_H \times \widehat{G}) \circ \Upsilon \circ \theta \circ i_{C_0(G)} \\ &= (\mathcal{F}_H \times \widehat{G}) \circ \Upsilon \circ j_G \\ &= (\mathcal{F}_H \times \widehat{G}) \circ i_{\widehat{G}}^{C^*(H)} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\widehat{G}}^{-1} \\ &= i_{\widehat{G}}^{C_0(\widehat{H})} \circ \mathcal{F}_{\widehat{G}}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \circ i_H &= (\mathcal{F}_H \times \widehat{G}) \circ \Upsilon \circ \theta \circ i_H \\ &= (\mathcal{F}_H \times \widehat{G}) \circ \Upsilon \circ j_{C^*(H)} \\ &= (\mathcal{F}_H \times \widehat{G}) \circ i_{C^*(H)} \\ &= i_{C_0(\widehat{H})} \circ \mathcal{F}_H \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

REFERENCES

- [1] R. J. Archbold and J. S. Spielberg, *Topologically free actions and ideals in discrete C^* -dynamical systems*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) **37** (1994), no. 1, 119–124.
- [2] F. Combes, *Crossed products and Morita equivalence*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **49** (1984), 289–306.
- [3] J. Cuntz, *C^* -algebras associated with the $ax + b$ -semigroup over \mathbb{N} , K -theory and noncommutative geometry*, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2008, pp. 201–215.

- [4] J. Cuntz and X. Li, *The regular C^* -algebra of an integral domain*, Quanta of maths, Clay Math. Proc., vol. 11, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010, pp. 149–170.
- [5] ———, *C^* -algebras associated with integral domains and crossed products by actions on adèle spaces*, J. Noncommut. Geom. **5** (2011), no. 1, 1–37.
- [6] R. Curto, P. Muhly, and D. P. Williams, *Cross products of strongly Morita equivalent C^* -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **90** (1984), no. 4, 528–530.
- [7] S. Echterhoff, S. Kaliszewski, J. Quigg, and I. Raeburn, *A Categorical Approach to Imprimitivity Theorems for C^* -Dynamical Systems*, vol. 180, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., no. 850, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.
- [8] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis. Vol. I: Structure of topological groups. Integration theory, group representations*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Bd. 115, Academic Press Inc., Publishers, New York, 1963.
- [9] S. Kaliszewski, M. B. Landstad, and J. Quigg, *A crossed-product approach to the Cuntz-Li algebras*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **55** (2012), 429–459.
- [10] T. Katsura, *A class of C^* -algebras generalizing both graph algebras and homeomorphism C^* -algebras. IV. Pure infiniteness*, J. Funct. Anal. **254** (2008), no. 5, 1161–1187.
- [11] M. Lacas, *From endomorphisms to automorphisms and back: dilations and full corners*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **61** (2000), no. 3, 893–904.
- [12] M. Lacas and J. S. Spielberg, *Purely infinite C^* -algebras from boundary actions of discrete groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **480** (1996), 125–139.
- [13] N. S. Larsen and X. Li, *The 2-adic ring C^* -algebra of the integers and its representations*, J. Funct. Anal. **262** (2012), no. 4, 1392–1426.
- [14] X. Li and W. Lück, *K -theory for ring C^* -algebras - the case of number fields with higher roots of unity*, arXiv:1201.4296.
- [15] X. Li, *Ring C^* -algebras*, Math. Ann. **348** (2010), no. 4, 859–898.
- [16] T. W. Palmer, *Banach algebras and the general theory of $*$ -algebras. Vol. 2*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 79, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001, $*$ -algebras. MR 1819503 (2002e:46002)
- [17] J.-L. Tu, *La conjecture de Baum-Connes pour les feuilletages moyennables*, K -Theory **17** (1999), no. 3, 215–264.
- [18] D. P. Williams, *Crossed products of C^* -algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 134, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY, TEMPE, ARIZONA 85287

E-mail address: `kaliszewski@asu.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NORWEGIAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (NTNU), NO-7491 TRONDHEIM, NORWAY

E-mail address: `tron.omland@math.ntnu.no`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY, TEMPE, ARIZONA 85287

E-mail address: `quigg@asu.edu`